

The Role of HRM in Promoting Corporate Social Responsibility among UK Multinational Operations

Ruth Ojakorotu
Independent Researcher

Abstract

This research examined the critical role of Human Resource Management (HRM) in promoting Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) initiatives within UK multinational corporations. Through a comprehensive analysis of current practices, challenges, and opportunities, this study revealed how HRM functions as a strategic enabler of CSR implementation across diverse international operations. The research employed a mixed-methods approach, combining literature review with case study analysis of leading UK multinationals. Findings indicate that HRM plays a multifaceted role in CSR promotion through talent acquisition, employee development, performance management, and cultural transformation. The study concludes that effective integration of CSR principles into HRM practices significantly enhances organisational reputation, employee engagement, and long-term sustainability. Recommendations include developing CSR-focused competency frameworks, implementing ethical leadership development programs, and establishing metrics for measuring CSR-related HRM outcomes.

Keywords: Human Resource Management, Corporate Social Responsibility, UK Multinationals, Sustainability, Employee Engagement, International Operations

1. Introduction

Human resource management is very important to help the social responsibility initiatives of businesses (CSR) in United Kingdom multinational firms. Aligning human resources management practices with CSR strategies, organisations can instil a culture of social responsibility that resonates in various contexts. Jamali et al. (2015) proposed a CSR-HRM co-creation model that emphasized the way hormone replacement therapy can drive CSR efforts through employee dedication and organisational commitment. Such mutual collaboration not only promotes corporate reputation but also advances employees' satisfaction and conservation.

However, the problems come when the operating environments differ between the regions. For instance, Bondy and Starkey (2014) pointed out the dilemma of multinationals in reconciling the global CSR norms with domestic cultural demands. Once more, Sarvaiya et al. (2018) contend that HRM can be an operational support function or a strategic collaborator to CSR activities, depending on the form of firm and external pressure.

Furthermore, the relationship of human rights to CSR is complex. Obara and Peattie (2018) explained the way United Kingdom multinationals move in this environment, and the importance of HRM to have the ability to blend well the human rights factors in CSR masterpieces. Strategically, generally, practices of human resources management significantly improve the results of the CSR when dealing with such issues in different operational environments.

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has progressed from being a secondary business issue to a fundamental strategic mandate of multinational companies (MNCs) in the 21st century. The raising of eyebrows in society, regulatory pressure, and growing consciousness among customers about environmental and social concerns have driven organisations towards mainstreaming CSR values as part of core business activity (Carroll & Shabana, 2010). For UK multinational operations, such integration has unique challenges and opportunities, particularly in handling many cultural contexts and guaranteeing uniform CSR standards for worldwide operations. Human Resource Management (HRM) then is the key function within this change, serving as the mediator between organisational CSR intentions and actual implementation through people management practices. The contribution of HRM in facilitating CSR comes from its immediate impacts on workers' behaviour, organisational culture, and cross-border stakeholder relations (Cohen et al., 2012).

This study examined how UK multinationals use HRM practices to develop and maintain CSR initiatives in international operations. During this research, three general research questions were responded to: (1) How do UK multinationals implement CSR values in HRM practices? (2) What are the challenges for HR functions in evoking CSR in various foreign operations? (3) What are the outcomes and evaluation measures of CSR-guided HRM practices?

2. Literature Review

2.1 Theoretical Foundations of CSR and HRM Integration

The conjunction of corporate social responsibility (CSR) and human resource management (HRM) of business operations of United Kingdom multinational corporation is an important sector to optimize ethical practices and sustainable programs. The conceptual brushstrokes around CSR highlight that ethics should be incorporated into the operations of the corporations, and that is also supported by an appropriate human resource management system. Jamali et al. (2015) suggested a CSR-HRM co-creation model and found that human resource professionals have a pivotal role to play in allocating RSI in organisational cultures.

The model indicates that human resource management activities like recruitment, training and performance management have the potential to play a crucial role in determining the success of CSR initiatives.

In addition to that, the global integration of the CSR and local reactivity is required for the multinational enterprises (MNEs) in varying environments. Miska et al. (2016) recognize drivers that ensure global integration of the CSR and the local reactivity, enhancing the overall effectiveness of the CSR. The CSR and HRM were also contrasted in the UK, and it was identified that the HRM may be employed as a bridge that will ensure the ethical practices of the workplace become compatible with the CSR goals (Obara & Peattie, 2018). In addition, Muller-Camen and Elsik (2014) are keen to point out the need for the global human resource management to deal with ethics as well as the CSR on a global scale, to reinforce the whole function that the HRM plays in support of CSR in multinational setting.

The relationship between CSR and HRM is based on stakeholder theory and institutional theory. Freeman (2010) stakeholder theory stresses that all the stakeholders' interests should be taken into consideration by organisations, including employees, communities, and the environment. This view puts HRM in the crucial position of mediation in balancing the interests of stakeholders and bringing business outcomes.

Institutional theory offers another perspective on how multinational companies can implement CSR practices in diverse regulatory and cultural environments (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983). For UK multinationals, this involves negotiating with diverse institutional pressures while having consistent CSR strategies around the world.

2.2 HRM Practices in CSR Implementation

Human resource management (HRM) practices are essential in the application of the corporate social responsibility (CSR) of United Kingdom's multinational operations. Employee commitment is especially essential since committed workers are the pillars of the successful application of CSR practices. Jamali et al. (2015) introduce a co-creation model CSR-HRM that emphasized the role of human resource management to produce a culture of commitment that enables employees to be empowered to support the development of the activities of CSR. Training is also a salient factor because training empowers employees with the information and skills to absorb and execute CSR initiatives effectively. Diaz-Carrion et al. (2019) mention the presence of various models of socially responsible social resources in Europe and propose that expert training programs can enhance the outcomes of the CSR by an increased employee competence.

In addition to this, allowing ethical culture to flourish in organisations is important for CSR's work. According to Sarvaiya et al. (2018), HRM not just confine to perform operational functions but also undertake strategic partnerships where CSR values get infused in organisational ethics. Moreover, Obara and Peattie (2018) outline the entry point of human rights and CSRs into United Kingdom multinationals as advocating for an ethical corporate culture in the firm where there is social responsibility. Generally, these studies outline the significant roles of human resource management in leading CSR through a firm engagement of employees, worldwide training and a robust moral framework.

Current literature suggests several places where HRM can give them direct contributions to CSR practice. For recruitment and selection, organisations have begun to employ CSR values as an integral part of employer brand and candidate selection process, with ethical direction and sensitivity of sustainability attributes as major selection factors (Greening & Turban,

2000). This is an indicator of the recognition that attraction of talent that shares similar organisational values is crucial to the effectiveness of CSR practice.

Training and development are another principal function wherein HRM enables CSR initiatives. CSR-focused training programs enable employees to develop an understanding of sustainability principles, ethical decision-making, and management of stakeholder relationships in multicultural contexts Garavan and McGuire (2010). Such training interventions ensure that each level of the workforce within the organisation develops the learning and competencies necessary to support the organisation to achieve its sustainability objectives.

Performance management systems have also contributed significantly to the improvement of CSR through incorporation of elements of sustainability in assessment processes. This incorporation helps bridge the link between individual actions and organisational sustainability objectives and establishes expectations and accountability procedures (Aguinis & Glavas, 2012). Lastly, progressive firms are tying executive compensation to CSR performance measures, thereby legitimating the significance of sustainable business practices at the highest organisational echelons and legitimating true commitment to CSR principles (Berrone & Gomez-Mejia, 2009).

2.3 Challenges in International CSR Implementation

UK multinational corporations are facing a serious challenge in implementing International Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), and the role of Human Resources Management (HRM) is of particular concern. In addition to this, globalization of business necessitates strategic alliances that integrate CSR into company culture, focusing on the support that HRM can generate through harmonization of practices to corporate objectives (Muller-Camen & Elsik, 2014).

Apart from cultural aspects, conformance to various worldwide standards in the sphere of CSR can be overwhelming. HRM serves to bridge the domestic environment, and company demands with a view to making local CSR practices adhere to ethical demands and legality (Bondy & Starkey, 2014). The extent to which the participation of stakeholders cannot be overstressed because HRM is essential in playing a role in mitigating the issues of various stakeholders, thereby enhancing the reputation and sustainability of multinational operations (Obara & Peattie, 2018). The strategic participation of HRM is therefore essential in responding to the various complex challenges of the CSR implementation worldwide.

UK multinationals also encounter special issues in standardizing CSR programs in different global markets. Differences in ethical and cultural norms, differences in regulatory requirements, and differential stakeholder expectations make standardizing CSR programs difficult (Husted & Allen, 2006). HRM practices must find an appropriate balance between global standardization and local responsiveness so that CSR programs are suitable and effective everywhere.

3. Methodology

The research employed a mixed-methods technique to gain thorough comprehension of the role played by HRM in facilitating CSR for UK multinational companies. The research employed a mixture of:

3.1 Literature Review

A systematic literature search review of journal articles between 2000-2021 from databases such as JSTOR, Emerald, and Business Source Premier, on the integration of HRM-CSR in multinational settings.

3.2 Case Study Analysis

In-depth examination of CSR-HRM practices within five top UK multinational corporations: Unilever, BP, Vodafone, HSBC, and Tesco. These companies were chosen for their global reach, mature CSR initiatives, and availability of publicly released sustainability reports.

3.3 Secondary Data Analysis

Review of corporate sustainability reports, HR policy reports, and industry benchmarking research for an understanding of trends and best practices in CSR-focused HRM.

4. Findings and Analysis

4.1 Integration of CSR Principles into HRM Practices

UK multinationals exhibit different levels of CSR integration in HRM, and the research has identified four prevailing mechanisms of integration. The most thorough approach is strategic alignment, whereby best-practice companies such as Unilever have aligned Sustainable Living Plan across all HR processes, from hiring to retirement. This provides a coherent narrative that can link each individual role to overarching sustainability goals and facilitates consistency in all people management practice.

Competency development is also an integral process with companies creating CSR-intended competency models describing expected behaviour and knowledge areas. The models are baseline tools that offer the backdrop for recruitment choices, inform training initiatives, and inform career progression trends, so sustainability issues are integrated throughout the employee lifecycle.

Cultural transformation is a more subtle but also imperative strategy, wherein HRM functions cultivate a firm culture that aligns with the tenets of CSR. This is accomplished by way of strategic communication initiatives, reward policies that recognize sustainable conduct, and leadership initiatives that emphasize the importance of social responsibility. Measurement and accountability mechanisms have also been established by visionary companies to create metrics linking HRM activities to CSR outcomes. Some of these are countable: for example, employee take-up of sustainability activities and talent retention rates associated with CSR can be measured, offering clear proof that people management practice is directly linked to sustainability performance.

4.2 Challenges in Cross-Cultural CSR Implementation

The study identifies various key challenges that UK multinationals encounter in the application of CSR via HRM in cross-national operations of varying nature. Cultural sensitivity is one of these challenges because varying cultural conceptions of social responsibility provide formidable hurdles to standardizing CSR expectations across global operations. For example, stakeholder engagement practices that work in the UK will not work similarly in Asian or African operations, where local culture and social norms demand various types of stakeholder engagement.

Regulatory obligation compliance is another source of complexity, as different employment law and CSR legislation across the regimes in which HRM departments operate necessitates ongoing changes in approach while efforts are being made to sustain core organisational

moralties. This divergence in regulation demands knowledge of national systems of law and the ability to evolve practice without impairing the underlying CSR requirements.

Resource allocation becomes more complicated when companies need to balance investments in CSR activities with other conflicting business interests across various markets with variant economic environments. The challenge is most critical when economic differences between markets lead CSR investments to earn different returns or encounter different levels of stakeholder receptiveness.

Lastly, talent management remains a persistent challenge since the practice of recruiting and retaining workers with strong CSR dispositions varies extensively across various labour markets and societies. Sustainability-led talent in one locality may not be as crucial in another, and thus HRM departments must come up with sophisticated talent recruitment and retention strategies that align with local preferences but uphold international CSR standards.

4.3 Best Practices and Success Factors

UK multinationals' high performers have been the subject of research into best practices in HRM-led CSR promotion that unveils consistent patterns of success in different global operations. Leadership commitment is a key prerequisite, and companies with strong CEO and senior management commitment to CSR have far superior implementation across global operations. HSBC's CEO-led sustainability council is the prime example of this practice and illustrates how open executive leadership generates the organisational energy needed by successful CSR integration.

Successful firms also implement the art of attaining proper balance between global standards and local adaptation, keeping core CSR principles intact while providing local adaptation substance to local needs and conditions. Vodafone's digital inclusion is a great example of this practice in implementation, where programs differ widely by market requirements but always retain global connectivity goals in harmony with overall corporate mission.

Employee empowerment is also a key driver of success, with those firms who clearly empower employees to lead CSR initiatives at the local level achieving greater participation and results than more controlling strategies. Tesco's "Community Champions" initiative is a case in point, empowering employees in local stores to pinpoint and respond to community needs within their operating environment.

Lastly, engaging stakeholders ensures successful sustainable CSR since companies that involve local stakeholders in determining CSR priorities always find it easier to implement and have a higher level of alignment in global operations. This participatory method ensures that CSR remains appropriate for the local situation and creates the community momentum needed for long-term success and effect.

4.4 Outcomes and Effectiveness Measures

The research pinpoints several positive outcomes of effective HRM-CSR integration that represent tangible benefits for organisational performance and sustainability. Perhaps the most prominent advantage is employer brand improvement, with organisations that highlight high CSR-HRM integration experiencing considerable boosts in employer attractiveness, particularly among younger talent pools who increasingly emphasize purpose-based employment opportunities and organisational values alignment in career selection.

Greater employee engagement is also a principal advantage, as firms that frequently track employee engagement with CSR activities always see robust correlations with job satisfaction and turnover rates. This correlation indicates that genuine engagement with

sustainability action builds greater emotional attachment to the company and higher commitment to long-term employment terms.

Organisations also see enhanced performance results through CSR integration, with organisations that employ CSR-integrated performance management systems seeing much higher levels of alignment between specific worker goals and general business objectives. This alignment generates synergies for both business outcomes and personal satisfaction, as employees see how routine work supports larger sustainability efforts.

Lastly, successful CSR-HRM integration presents significant risk avoidance advantages to firms in that they can effectively avoid reputational risk, as well as compliance breaches, in foreign operations. Successful CSR-HRM practices build robust governance structures and cultural sensitivity that minimize the likelihood of ethical blunders or compliance breaches and safeguard organisational reputation and continuity of operation in increasingly watchful global business environments.

5. Discussion

5.1 Strategic Implications

The research findings indicate that the contribution of HRM towards promoting CSR goes beyond routine people management to become a strategic facilitator of sustainable business change. UK multinationals that base CSR within the practice of HRM are delivering better stakeholder relations, employee engagement and long-term sustainability performance.

The research reveals that CSR-oriented HRM requires a fundamental shift from compliance-based approaches to value-driven people management. This transformation demands new competencies from HR professionals, including sustainability knowledge, stakeholder management skills, and cultural sensitivity for international operations.

5.2 Theoretical Contributions

This research contributes to the theoretical understanding of the integration of HRM and CSR through the demonstration of stakeholder theory in practice in multinational contexts. The findings confirm the hypothesis that HRM is a vital mediator between organisational CSR commitment and stakeholder expectation across various cultural contexts.

The study also extends institutional theory by showing how UK multinationals adapt CSR practices to local institutional environments while maintaining global coherence through strategic HRM interventions. This dual adaptation mechanism represents a significant contribution to understanding how multinational corporations navigate institutional complexity.

5.3 Practical Implications

For HR practitioners in UK multinational corporations, the research provides several actionable insights that can enhance effectiveness in promoting CSR across diverse CSR international operations. The development of comprehensive CSR competency frameworks emerges as a foundational requirement, with organisations needing to clearly define the required knowledge, skills, and behaviours at different organisational levels to ensure consistent understanding and application of sustainability principles throughout their global workforce.

Investment in CSR-focused leadership development programs proves essential for building the organisational capability necessary to drive sustainability initiatives across international operations. These programs must equip leaders with both the technical knowledge and soft

skills required to champion CSR initiatives while navigating the complexities of diverse CSR cultural and regulatory environments.

The systematic integration of CSR metrics into performance management systems represents another critical area for action, requiring organisations to establish clear linkages between individual employee contributions and broader organisational sustainability goals. This integration ensures that sustainability considerations become embedded in day-to-day decision-making and individual accountability frameworks rather than remaining peripheral concerns.

Finally, HR professionals working in multinational contexts must develop enhanced cultural intelligence to effectively adapt CSR initiatives while maintaining core organisational principles. This capability enables practitioners to navigate the delicate balance between global consistency and local relevance, ensuring that sustainability efforts resonate with diverse CSR stakeholder groups while preserving the integrity of the organisation's fundamental CSR commitments.

6. Recommendations

Based on the research findings, several recommendations emerge for UK multinational corporations seeking to enhance CSR implementation through HRM:

6.1 Strategic Recommendations

Organisations should prioritize the development of integrated CSR-HRM strategies that create comprehensive frameworks explicitly linking HRM practices to CSR objectives. This strategic integration ensures meaningful alignment across all people management functions, from recruitment and selection through performance management and employee development, creating a coherent approach that reinforces sustainability values at every touchpoint of the employee experience.

Establishing an effective global-local balance represents another critical strategic imperative, requiring organisations to implement sophisticated frameworks that maintain consistent global CSR standards while enabling meaningful local adaptation to diverse CSR cultural and regulatory contexts. This balance ensures that core sustainability principles remain intact while allowing sufficient flexibility for regional teams to address local stakeholder needs and comply with jurisdiction-specific requirements.

Investment in the development of HR capability is also essential, and organisations need to develop special expertise in HR function to manage efficiently the advanced CSR-related people management challenges emerging across global operations. This capability development must address not only technical knowledge on sustainability principles but also in-the-job training in cross-cultural communication, stakeholder engagement, and change management to enable HR practitioners to have the tools to implement serious CSR change across diverse CSR global contexts.

6.2 Operational Recommendations

Organisations need CSR-centred recruitment practice by systematically integrating sustainability assessment into recruitment and selection activities to ensure the employment of candidates whose personal values align with organisational commitments towards sustainability. It provides the foundation for maintaining CSR success by creating groups of employees who are intrinsically motivated towards fulfilling sustainability objectives rather than seeing them as forced obligations.

Integrated learning environment design is also a crucial operational suggestion, and it demands firms to develop and implement advanced learning systems that efficiently develop CSR knowledge and capabilities across all levels of employees in various cultures. The learning systems need to be adapted to support various learning modes, cultural preferences, and corporate activities and to ensure uniform understanding of key sustainability principles and integration of these principles in regular work processes.

Lastly, organisations need to establish strong measurement systems that can monitor the contributions of HRM to CSR performance and organisational sustainability performance. Measures must be able to effectively demonstrate how people management practices are helping to move sustainability objectives forward and allow continuous improvement, as well as provide concrete value of CSR-HRM integration to senior leaders and external stakeholders. These measures build accountability frameworks that emphasize the significance of sustainability, as well as yield evidence-based insights for strategic decision-making.

7. Limitations and Future Research

This research recognizes several limitations that provide promising avenues for future research and further insight into the convergence of HRM-CSR. The concentration on five UK multinationals, as with providing rich depth and rich insight, naturally restricts generalizability of findings across varying industry sectors and organisational sizes, and it is implied that broader sampling would increase applicability of conclusions to varying organisational contexts.

Temporal limitations are also important, as the cross-sectional design of analysis is most likely to poorly reflect the dynamic evolution of HRM-CSR practices over time and fail to highlight key developmental patterns and forthcoming trends that would shape future strategic decisions. Furthermore, the study mainly captures the views of UK multinationals and would be greatly enhanced by comparative analysis against home-country-based firms from other nations, and this could uncover divergent approaches and cultural drivers of CSR-HRM integration.

These constraints also imply a range of fertile research opportunities that could make important contributions to knowledge in this area. Longitudinal examination of HRM-CSR development across longer time frames would shed valuable light on how these practices develop and change across longer periods within organisations. Comparative examination across contrasting national contexts could elucidate how home country culture and institutional environments influence CSR-HRM orientation. Industry-specific CSR-HRM integration issues would be studied to provide more tailored advice to organisations from specific industries, while studying technology's role in facilitating CSR-oriented HRM practices could identify how digital transformation enhances sustainability goals through innovation in people management.

8. Conclusion

This study illustrates the mechanism through which HRM enhances the Corporate Social Responsibility function of UK multinationals. Value is created by merging CSR principles into HRM practices through greater employee commitment, improved stakeholder relationships, and better organisational sustainability performance.

The research confirms that effective implementation of CSR by HRM needs strategic fit, cultural sensitivity, and responsive capability to manage diverse CSR international environments. Successful implementation of HRM practices by UK multinationals to serve

CSR translates into better employer brand, talent attraction, and long-term sustainability performance.

The study adds to theory and practice by establishing how HRM is a critical mediator of organisational CSR intention and its enactment across borders. As stakeholder pressures mount and regulatory pressures pile up, the function of HRM in promoting CSR will take centre stage in the effectiveness of multinational corporations.

For UK multinationals, the choice is stark: firms that do not incorporate CSR principles into HRM practice run the risk of being outperformed by the competition in the areas of talent management, stakeholder interaction, and sustainable performance over the long term. The study provides a guide to firms willing to use expertise in people management to drive genuine CSR results from international operations.

References

- Aguinis, H., & Glavas, A. (2012). What we know and don't know about corporate social responsibility: A review and research agenda. *Journal of management*, 38(4), 932-968. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01492063114360>
- Berrone, P., & Gomez-Mejia, L. R. (2009). Environmental performance and executive compensation: An integrated agency-institutional perspective. *Academy of Management Journal*, 52(1), 103-126. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2009.36461950>
- Bondy, K., & Starkey, K. (2014). The dilemmas of internationalization: Corporate social responsibility in the multinational corporation. *British Journal of Management*, 25(1), 4-22. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1467-8551.2012.00840.x>
- Carroll, A. B., & Shabana, K. M. (2010). The business case for corporate social responsibility: A review of concepts, research and practice. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 12(1), 85-105. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2009.00275.x>
- Cohen, E., Taylor, S., & Muller-Camen, M. (2012). *HRM's role in corporate social and environmental sustainability [SHRM Foundation's Effective Practice Guidelines Series]*. SHRM Foundation.
- Diaz-Carrion, R., López-Fernández, M., & Romero-Fernandez, P. M. (2019). Evidence of different models of socially responsible HRM in Europe. *Business Ethics: The Environment and Responsibility*, 28(1), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12203>
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American sociological review*, 48(2), 147-160. <http://digital.casalini.it/9780691229270>
- Freeman, R. E. (2010). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Cambridge university press. [https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=NpmA_qEiOpkC&dq=Freeman,+R.+E.+\(1984\).+Strategic+management:+A+stakeholder+approach.+Cambridge+University+Press.&lr=&source=gbs_navlinks_s](https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=NpmA_qEiOpkC&dq=Freeman,+R.+E.+(1984).+Strategic+management:+A+stakeholder+approach.+Cambridge+University+Press.&lr=&source=gbs_navlinks_s)
- Garavan, T. N., & McGuire, D. (2010). Human resource development and society: Human resource development's role in embedding corporate social responsibility, sustainability, and ethics in organizations. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 12(5), 487-507. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1523422310394757>
- Greening, D. W., & Turban, D. B. (2000). Corporate social performance as a competitive advantage in attracting a quality workforce. *Business & Society*, 39(3), 254-280. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000765030003900302>

- Husted, B. W., & Allen, D. B. (2006). Corporate social responsibility in the multinational enterprise: Strategic and institutional approaches. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 37(6), 838-849. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8400227>
- Jamali, D. R., El Dirani, A. M., & Harwood, I. A. (2015). Exploring human resource management roles in corporate social responsibility: The CSR-HRM co-creation model. *Business Ethics: The Environment and Responsibility*, 24(2), 125-143. <https://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12085>
- Miska, C., Witt, M. A., & Stahl, G. K. (2016). Drivers of global CSR integration and local CSR responsiveness: Evidence from Chinese MNEs. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 26(3), 317-345. <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/business-ethics-quarterly/article/abs/drivers-of-global-csr-integration-and-local-csr-responsiveness-evidence-from-chinese-mnes/A1DAFAF7C3CE1FB89DCF0579CDF4AABD>
- Muller-Camen, M., & Elsik, W. (2014). IHRM's role in managing ethics and CSR globally. In *The Routledge companion to international human resource management* (pp. 552-562). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315761282>
- Obara, L. J., & Peattie, K. (2018). Bridging the great divide? Making sense of the human rights-CSR relationship in UK multinational companies. *Journal of World Business*, 53(6), 781-793. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2017.10.002>
- Sarvaiya, H., Eweje, G., & Arrowsmith, J. (2018). The roles of HRM in CSR: Strategic partnership or operational support? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 153(3), 825-837. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10551-016-3402-5>