
FINANCING POLICY AND NET BOOK VALUE OF QUOTED MANUFACTURING FIRMS IN NIGERIA: A MULTI-VARIANT STUDY

Dr. ADIBE, Francis Chikwendu

Department of Banking and Finance, Faculty of Management Sciences, Rivers State University, Nigeria

Dr. Ezebunwo Nyeche

Department of Economics, Faculty of Social Sciences, Rivers State University, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

This study examined the relationship between financing policy and net book value of quoted manufacturing firms in Nigeria. Panel data of 15 quoted manufacturing firms was collected from the annual reports of the manufacturing firms from 2010-2019. Net book value of the quoted firms was modeled as the function of short term portfolio investment, subsidiary investment, long term portfolio investment and long term investment. Multiple regressions were formulated. Panel data methodology was employed while the fixed effects model was used as estimation technique at 5% level of significance. Fixed effects, random effects and pooled estimates were tested while the Hausman test was used to determine the best fit. Panel unit roots and panel cointegration analysis were conducted on the study. Findings of the study proved 71 per cent of the systematic variation in the net book value of the quoted manufacturing firms. The beta coefficient found that total capital ratio, debt capital have negative relationship with net book value of the quoted manufacturing firms while equity capital, debt equity ratio and total capital ratio have positive relationship with the net book value of the quoted manufacturing firms. The probability coefficient found that debt equity ratio is statistically significant while total capital ratio, debt capital and total debt ratio have no significant relationship with net book value of the manufacturing firms. The study recommends that Quoted firms in Nigeria should be encouraged to maximize the use external financing.

Keywords: Financing Policy, Net Book Value, Quoted Manufacturing Firms, Nigeria, Multi-Variant Study

INTRODUCTION

Every corporate organization exists to create value for shareholders. This operational philosophy depended on internal factors of the firms such as financial policies and external factors such as monetary and macroeconomic variables. This overriding objective motivates managers to make decisions that will increase the value of the firm. Traditionally, there are three types of corporate financial policy: investment, financing and dividend policies.

Corporate financial policies involve estimating financial requirements, deciding the capital structure, selecting a source of finance as well as pattern of investment, proper cash management, implementing financial controls and proper use of surplus in a bid to increase the value of the firm to the shareholders (Shian and Tam, 2010). Corporate financial policy deals with important policies that must be made with caution because it will affect the corporate financial performance as well as shareholders' wealth; decision making relating to financial policy is one of the most important decisions to be decided by the board.

Net book value refers to the net value of assets reported by the company in its balance sheet. It is the carrying value of assets after deducting accumulated depreciation, accumulated depletion, accumulated amortization and impairments from the original cost of the asset. The net book value shows the worth of asset as on the balance sheet date of the company (Okeke, 2019). The netbook value of the asset is one of the financial measures to determine the valuation of the company. It can either used for valuing particular assets or all the assets of the company. It is calculated for tangible assets such as land, building and machinery.

The relationship between capital structure and firm's value can be viewed from the perspectives of various theories. The traditionalist theories believe that capital structure is relevant in determining a firm's value. But the irrelevance theory of Modigliani and Miller (1958) posited that there is no relationship between capital structure and firm's value. The static trade-off theory of capital structure states that optimal capital structure is obtained where the net tax advantage of debt financing balances leverage related costs such as financial distress and bankruptcy, holding firm's assets and investment decisions constant. The static trade-off theory assumes that firm's trade-off benefits and costs of debt and equity financing and ensures optimal capital structure after accounting for market imperfections such as taxes, bankruptcy costs and agency costs. Altman (2002) claimed that issuing equity means moving away from the optimum and should therefore be considered bad news. The consequence is that investors perceive equity issues to only occur if equity is either fairly priced or overpriced.

There are an ongoing controversy between researchers who support the agency cost theory and researchers who do not support it. Some researchers argue that the agency costs can be considered as a part of the trade-off theory, based on the information asymmetry between shareholders and managers (Armada, Nunes and Serrasqueiro, 2011; Degryse, de Goeij and Kappert, 2012; Mahajan and Tartaroglu, 2008). However, La-Porta, Lopez-de-Silanes, Shleifer and Vishny (1998) investigated the institutional environment in different countries. They found that due to the differences in the institutional environments across countries, the agency costs differ per country. The above proved that none of the theories can be considered the best most especially in a developing financial market like Nigeria. Therefore this study examined the effect financing policy on the net book value of quoted manufacturing firms.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Financing Policy

The financing policy is known to influence the firm's value and its risk. The value of the firm is affected by capital market imperfections such as corporate taxes, personal taxes and bankruptcy costs. The tax benefits of debt (in addition to financial flexibility, bond rating, and profit fluctuation) are the most significant factors shaping the company financing policy. Moreover, they found that bond rating and financial flexibility are the primary factors influencing bond-issue policy, while per share profit, dilution effect and share price on the stock exchange are the primary factors influencing decisions regarding stock issues. Capital structure is defined as the combination of debt and equity to finance a firm's operations. Capital structure includes mixture of debt and equity financing (Chou and Lee, 2010, Hall et al, 2004, Barral and Booth et al, 2001). According to Pandey (2000), capital structure refers to the mix of long-term sources of funds, such as debenture long-term debt, preference share capital and equity share capital including reserve and surpluses.

According to Abor (2008), capital structure is defined as the specific mix of debt and equity a firm uses to finance its operations. A company's combination of debt and equity issues to relieve potential pressures on its long-term financing (Tekker, et al, 2009). Yet, the mixture of a variety of long-term sources of funds and equity shares including reserves and surpluses of an enterprise is called capital structure (Pratheepkanth, 2011).

From the above, discussion, two financing options are open to financial managers-debt and equity. Thus, the financial manager can increase shareholder claim or increase creditor's claim on the assets of the firm. Shareholders' claim increases when shares are issued for public subscription while creditors' claim increases when the company borrows on a short-term or long-term basis. Therefore, the various means of financing company operations represent what is known as financial structure. The financial structure of a firm is shown on the balance sheet as combination of liabilities and equity. Similarly, the financial manager can finance the assets of the business by debt or equity. The use of both debt and equity as sources of funds to a business is termed capital structure. It is also known as debt-equity mix.

The use of internal financing sources by managers for investments is aimed at enhancing firm value. The use is also because of the information asymmetry between agents and principals (Ross 1973) relating to both activities and information. Research on the influence of liquidity on the firm value has been done by Chen et al. (2016), Van Heerden and Van Rensburg (2016), Azmat (2014) and Prombutr et al. (2010). The results showed that liquidity has a positive effect on firm value. Based on the above description, the hypothesis proposed in the research is managers are considered not to always act in accordance with the interests of shareholders. Therefore, one of the mechanisms is to increase the proportion of debt. Adding debt can reduce agency costs and lead to an increase firm value.

The more the debt increases, the smaller the idle funds the manager can spend on unnecessary expenses. The more the debt, the more the cash firm must reserve to pay interest on it and also repay the principal of the debt. Thus, the debt can reduce agency costs as well as increase the firm value, because by the debt, the firm can increase its operational funds as well as profitable investment activities. Brigham and Ehrhardt (2013) stated that the use of debt would increase the value of the firm through an increase in stock market prices, as well as if it downs, it will lower stock market prices. Modigliani and Miller (1958) stated that the debt ratio would be able to increase the firm value, but at some point, additional debt would

result in the risk of bankruptcy. To the extent that interest payments can be used to reduce the tax burden, the debt reduction benefits the firm owner. However, such benefits will be recognized by the cost of bankruptcy and possible personal tax differences between income from equity and from debt. Theoretically, the firm should use the debt that will minimize the cost of the firm's capital. A good firm whose performance can signal a high proportion of its debt towards its capital structure can raise the firm value. .

Net Book Value

Net Book Value refers to the net value of assets reported by the company in its balance sheet. It is the carrying value of assets after deducting accumulated depreciation, accumulated depletion, accumulated amortization and impairments from the original cost of the asset. The Net Book Value shows the worth of asset as on the balance sheet date of the company.

NBV is computed as:

$$\text{NBV} = \text{Original cost of the asset} - \text{Accumulated depreciation}$$

Where, Accumulated depreciation = depreciation per year x total no of years and
Depreciation = (Original cost – salvage value)/ estimated useful life.

The netbook value of the asset is one of the financial measures to determine the valuation of the company. It can either used for valuing particular assets or all the assets of the company. It is calculated for tangible assets such as land, building and machinery. It also helps in calculating the different financial ratio. The ratios use the net book value of an asset to determine the market return of the company and the market price of the stock. Firm's valuation is often based on the net book value of its assets at the time of the liquidation. Therefore, investors primarily refer to the net book value of the assets of the company for valuation purpose.

Theoretical Review

The Modigliani Miller Hypotheses

The underlying rationale for the Modigliani-Miller theory is that the value of the firm is determined solely by the left hand side of the balance sheet which reflects the company's investments policy (Drobez and Fix, 2003). The theory suggests that the value of the firm tends to be independent of the debt balance of the company and instead, it is mainly affected by the presence of a number of project investments with positive net present value. Modigliani-Miller assumes that investors have the same financial information about a firm with that of the managers, which can be referral to as systematic informatics but in practice, it is more convenient to assume that manager are likely to have insider information which is simply called asymmetric information (Tekker, et al, 2009). Myers and Majiluf (1984) confirmed that mangers of form have superior information about the actual value of the firms.

In their path-breaking paper in 1958, Nobel Laureates Merton Miller and Franco Modigliani provided the formal proof of their now famous M &M irrelevance propositions. Thus, the MM theory states that, based on the assumption of no brokerage, tax and bankruptcy costs, investors can borrow at the some rates as corporations and they would tend to have the same information as management about the firms future investment opportunities. There are two propositions.

MMI or Proposition I: According to Modigliani and Miller, quoting Pandey (2000), the firm's market value is not affected by capital structure: that is, any combination of debt and equity is as good as any other. In M-M's world of perfect capital market, because of borrowing and lending rates for all investors and no taxes, investors can borrow their own.

MMII or Proposition II: Here Modigliani and Miller accept that borrowing increases shareholders' return. They show that increased risk exactly offsets the increased return, thus leaving the position of shareholders unchanged.

Modigliani and Miller (1958) proposition concluded that the value of the firm, that is, its stock price, does not depend on the capital structure or dividend payout of the firm. The main idea behind Modigliani and Miller's theory is that a rational investor can create any capital structure on his/her own through homemade leverage substitution. "Capital structure irrelevance" is based on assumptions that include perfect capital markets, homogenous expectations, no taxes, and no transaction costs; all earnings are paid out as dividend. Modigliani and Miller (1963) stated that borrowing will only cause the value of the firm to rise by the amount of the capitalized value of the tax subsidy. The introduction of tax deductibility of interest payments has an implication on the choice of capital structure. Profitability increases, non-debt tax shields reduce and liquidity increases.

Pecking Order Theory

Donaldson (1961) followed by Myers (1984) suggests that management follows a preference ordering when it comes to financing. His work suggests that the costs of issuing risky debt or equity overwhelm the forces that determine optimal leverage in the trade-off model; the result is the pecking order. He also argued that the trade-off theory fails to predict the wide degree of cross-sectional and time variation of observed debt ratios. The pecking order theory is mainly a behavioral explanation of why certain companies finance the way they do. It is consistent with some rationale arguments, such as asymmetric information and signaling, as well as with flotation costs.

Moreover, it is consistent with the observation that the most profitable companies within an industry tend to have the least amount of leverage and more of equity (Khan and Jain, 2004). This observation that profitable firms mostly adopt equity financing by using least debt amounts makes this theory relevant to the study. The pecking order theory explains why the bulk of external financing comes from debt; why more profitable firms borrow less: not because their target debt ratio is low. The order followed is that firms prefer internal finance and if external finance is required, firms issue the safest security first. They start with debt, then possible hybrid securities such as convertible bonds then perhaps equity as a last resort (Pandey, 2009). Corporate managers are more likely to follow a financing hierarchy than to maintain a target debt- equity ratio (Pinegar and Wilbricht, 1989).

The equity of a firm will be mispriced by the market when the management of that firm holds more information about the future prospects of the firm and condition of its assets as compared to outside shareholders. According to Myers and Majluf (1984), the market tends to conclude that the shares of an issuing firm are overvalued, which in turn leads to lower proceeds for a share issuing firm. The important fact here is that managers will only issue shares when they are overvalued in order to protect the interests of existing shareholders.

The Static Trade-Off Theory

This theory holds that a firm's capital composition of debt and equity is determined by taxes and costs of financial distress. Based on this Theory, it is deductible interest payment has benefits since the tax deductible and therefore preferred to equity financing. The static theory trade-off theory of capital structure predicts that firms will choose their mix of debt and equity financing to balance the costs and benefits of debt. A point or range is reached beyond which debt becomes more expensive because of the increased risk (financial distress) of excessive debt to creditors as well as to shareholders. When the degree of leverage increases, the risk of creditors increases and they demand a higher interest rate and do not grant loan to the company at all, once its debt has reached a particular level.

Further the excessive amount of debt makes the shareholders' position very risky. This has the effort of increasing the cost of equity. Thus, up to a point, the overall cost of capital decreases with debt, but beyond that point the cost of capital would start increasing and therefore it would not be advantageous to employ debt further, so there is a combination of debt and equity which minimizes the firm's average cost of capital and maximizes the market value per share. The trade-off between cost of capital and earnings per share (EPS) set the maximum limit to the use of debt.

The static trade-off theory of capital structure (also referred to as the tax-based theory) states that optimal capital structure is obtained where the net tax advantage of debt financing balances leverage related costs such as financial distress and bankruptcy, holding firm's assets and investment decisions constant (Baxter, 1967 and Altman, 1984). In view of this theory, issuing equity means moving away from the optimum and should therefore be considered bad news. According to Myers (1984), firms adopting this theory could be regarded as setting a target debt-to-value ratio with a gradual attempt to achieve it. However, he suggested that managers will be reluctant to issue equity if they feel it is undervalued in the market. The consequence is that investors perceive equity issues to only occur if equity is either fairly priced or overpriced. As a result, investors tend to react negatively to an equity issue and management is reluctant to issue equity.

Market Efficiency Theory

Efficient-market hypothesis (EMH) was propounded by Fama (1953). The theory asserted that financial market is "informationally efficient". There are three major forms of the hypothesis: "weak", "semi-strong", and "strong". Weak EMH claims that prices on traded assets (for example, stock bonds, or property) already reflect all past publicly available information. Semi-strong EMH states that prices reflect all publicly available information and that prices instantly change to reflect new public information. Strong EMH additionally claims that prices instantly reflect even hidden or "insider" information. Efficient market theory implies that market will react quickly to new information. Thus, it is important to know when the accounting report first became publicly known. The accounting report is informative only if it provides data not previously known by the market.

Stock market thrives on information. This is because information plays an essential role in reducing the investors' challenges in the capital market. Information is important to investors in helping them evaluate investment opportunities to decide how to allocate their savings. In addition, it is also important because it enables investors to monitor whether their resources have been used wisely by managers. Markets where information is irregular give opportunities for investors who are more informed to take advantage of those who are less

informed and make it more expensive for investors to buy or sell a security without affecting its price.

As a result of the important role of information to the market, stock exchanges world-wide, set listing and post-listing requirements for companies seeking quotation. For instance, in Nigeria, the post-listing requirements of the NSE laid emphasis on the timely release of information. Quoted companies are required to provide the market with information about their operations to the public. This information includes quarterly, half-yearly and yearly financial accounts. However, the investors in Nigeria have suffered untold hardship due to lack of regular and reliable information from the listed companies on NSE (Goddy, 2010).

In Nigeria, Nigerian stock market is efficient in the weak form and follows a random walk process (Olowe, 1999 and Okpara, 2010). The implication is that all information conveyed in past patterns of a stock's price is reflected in the current price of the stock. Therefore, it is ineffectual to select stocks based on information about recent trends in stock prices. Olowe (1999) uses data of an end of the month quoted stock prices of 59 randomly selected from January 1981 to December 1992 on the Nigeria stock exchange and employs a sample autocorrelation test. The study concluded that the Nigeria stock market appeared to be efficient in the weak form. Kukah, Amoo and Raji (2006) focus their study on market indices in local currencies rather than prices of individual stocks. They use the capitalization weighted index of all listed stocks. They use both parametric and non-parametric test in determining the efficiency of the Nigerian stock market, according to them, the results of the parametric tests show that the Nigerian capital market is weak form efficient while the parametric tests showed that the market is not weak - form efficient.

Empirical Literature

Nwala, Gimba and Oyedokun (2020) examined the impact of corporate financial policy on firm value of insurance firms in Nigeria for the period 2011 to 2017. In carrying out this study, ex-post-facto research design was employed, and secondary data sourced from 25 insurance annual report and Nigeria Stock Exchange factbook for the period of 7 years. Pool time series data were extracted related to dividend payout, equity issuance, debt asset, equity asset, return on asset and Tobin Q was used as proxies for firm value in this study. The findings indicate that dividend payout and equity issuance have significantly impacted on firm performance (Tobin Q), the study also stated that ROA has no significant relationship with dividend payout, equity asset, debt assets and equity issuance during the period under study. It was recommended that insurance managers should devote adequate time in designing a dividend policy that will enhance firm's performance (ROA) and shareholder value. Again, the company should review its dividend policy in order to reduce agency cost and maximize the value of the company.

Okeke (2019) examined the effect of capital structure on firm value of selected quoted firms in Nigeria. It adopted long term debt, equity capital, as independent (x) variables of capital structure while Tobin Q was used as proxy for firm value the dependent variable. It adopted ex-post facto research design. The statistical package used for the analysis was e-view version 8.0. The population of the study was firms drawn from conglomerate and consumer goods sectors of Nigeria Stock exchange for a period of nine (9) years 2007-2015. Descriptive statistics, correlation and ordinary least square (OLS) of multiple regression analysis were used to test the hypotheses formulated to guide the study. The coefficient of determination R^2 showed that 65% systematic variations in firm value could be explained by the independent variables. The F value (62.44647) was significant at 1% which means that

the parameters estimated were statistically significant in explaining the effect of the independent variables on the dependent variable. The study, therefore, concluded that capital structure with regard to long term debt was negatively but statistically significant to firm value, while equity capital was positively insignificant to firm value. The study recommended that firms should be more concerned with management of equity capital in business financing since it is more related to the value of the firm.

Uzokwe (2019) examined the effect of debt financing on the financial performance of quoted firms in Nigeria stock exchange using time series data from 2000-2017. The objective was to examine the controversial findings of scholars on the effect of capital structure on corporate performance of firms. Return on assets and return on equity was modeled as the function of debt equity ratio, debt ratio, equity ratio, total liability ratio and long-term debt ratio. Multiple regressions with the aid of statistical package for social sciences were used as data analysis techniques. Model one found that a correlation coefficient (r) of .872 this implies that a very strong correlation exists between return on assets and explanatory variables. The coefficient of determination (r^2) is .678 which shows that 67.8% of the variation in Return on Assets is attributable to the variations in the financial leverage. Also, the F- value calculated of 8.338 has a correlation corresponding value of .004 which implies a good model utility. The test of significance conducted as shown in the tables above states that ROA has a calculated value of 242.032 and a corresponding significance value/probability value of .014. The positive sign of t-value (1.653) shows the direction of the variables. This therefore implies that when a financial leverage is well used, this leads to a better, reliable and fairer financial result that is objective and represent the true state of affairs in the food and beverage companies proportionately. Model two found that a correlation coefficient (r) of .772 this implies that a very strong correlation exists between return on assets and explanatory variables. The coefficient of determination (r^2) is .639 which shows that 63.9% of the variation in return on equity is attributable to the variations in the financial leverage. Also, the F- value calculated of 7.644 has a correlation corresponding value of .004 which implies a good model utility. The test of significance conducted as shown in the tables above states that ROE has a calculated value of 568.906 and a corresponding significance value/probability value of .003. The positive sign of t-value (3.310) shows the direction of the variables. This therefore implies that when a financial leverage is well used, this leads to a better, reliable and fairer financial result that is objective and represent the true state of affairs in the food and beverage companies proportionately.

Ndubuisi and Onyema (2019) examined the effects of financial leverage on the profit growth in Nigeria using the total debt to capital ratio, debt to equity ratio, cost of debt, debt to asset ratio and long term debt to capital ratios as proxies for financial leverage for a sample of 80 non-financial firms quoted on the Nigerian Stock Exchange over the period of 2000 to 2015. Data were analysed using the panel data regression analysis model which includes the pooled regression model, fixed effect model and the random effect model. The choice of the appropriate model between Fixed Effect and Random Effect is made using the Hausman Test. In accordance with the research findings, we conclude that financial leverage has significant effect on the profit growth of firms in Nigeria and also that there exists a significant relationship between the inflation rate and profit growth but the relationship with the interest and exchange rates on financial leverage of quoted companies in Nigeria. The nature of the relationship differs from one another; a positive relationship was reported for the total debt to capital ratio, debt to asset ratio and long-term debt to capital ratios and a negative relationship for the debt to equity ratio and the cost of debt.

Lucky and Uzokwe (2020) tested Miller and Modigliani dividend policy irrelevant hypothesis in Nigeria. The objective was to examine the validity of the irrelevant hypothesis. Tobins Q measure of market value was modeled as the function of dividend payout ratio, retention ratio, dividend per share and dividend yield. 20 firms were selected on the basis of availability of information necessary for conducting the study and the readiness of annual financial reports for the period of 10 years from 2008-2017. Cross sectional data was sourced from financial statement and annual reports of the firms. Based on the analysis of fixed and random effect results, random effect was used. The study revealed that 75 percent variation on the market value can be predicted by variation on independent variables in the regression model. The beta coefficient of the variables found that all the independent variables have positive and significant relationship with market value of the selected quoted firms. The study concludes that dividend policy is relevant as oppose to the irrelevant hypothesis of Miller and Modigliani. Its therefore recommend that managers should manage their dividend policies effectively since it is relevant and has significant effect on market value and optimal dividend policy which implies policy of trade-off between dividend payout and retain earnings should be well managed and investors should have adequate knowledge of dividend policy of quoted firms that will correspond with their investment objectives of avoid conflict in dividend policy.

Cahyono and Sulistyawati (2017) in their study found that firm value is not impacted by dividend policies. Thus, increasing dividend policies are not impact on investor reaction and then firm value is not impacted also. This is consistent with the theory proposed by Miller and Modigliani stating that the dividend policy does not affect the firm value because they think the dividend payout ratio is simply the details and does not affect the welfare of shareholders. Rafika and Santoso (2018) found that firm value can impacted from funding decision. Debt to equity ratio (DER) used as an indicator of the funding decisions in this study, that is the ratio between total debt of the company, either current debt or long-term debt with its own capital (equity). So, when amount of DER increases then PBV also going to be increasing because amount of debt can help management in the company operating. Finally, investors think that increasing of debt can increases of companies' performance like a Banks' mind.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study used ex-post facto research design, to examine the relationship that exists between Financing policy and value of quoted manufacturing firms in Nigeria. The choice of this form of research design is based on its reliability to provide objective estimates of study variable relationships free from subjective errors. The ex-post facto design was considered to be the right research design for the study.

Population of the Study

Nogales (2002) defined population as the total number of elements that conform to the characteristics needed for the purpose of the study. The population for this study consists of 63 quoted manufacturing firms listed on the Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE) within the period of 2010 to 2019 financial years.

Sample and Sampling Techniques

From the population, a sample size of 15 quoted manufacturing firms was selected randomly from each manufacturing sector. The rationale for the sample size is the ease in getting

relevant and reliable data for the study from the annual financial reports submitted to the Nigeria Stock Exchange within the time scope of this study.

Sources of Data

The data for this study are secondary data sourced from the financial statement and annual reports of the selected quoted firms.

Model Specification

From theories, principles and empirical findings, the models below are specified in this study.

$$NBV = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 DER + \lambda_2 DC + \lambda_3 EQC + \lambda_4 TCR + \mu \quad 1$$

Where

NBV = Net Book value

DER = Debt equity ratio

DC = debt capital

EQC = Equity capital

TCR = Total capital to total assets ratio

λ_0 = Regression Intercept

$\lambda_1 - \lambda_4$ = Coefficient of the independent variables to the Dependent variable

μ = Error term

Table 1: Analysis of Variables and A-Priori Expectation

Variable	Measurement	Notation	Expected relationship
Net book value	Log of total assets less depreciation	NBV	Dependent variable
Debt equity ratio	Log of debt to total equity	DER	+
Debt Capital	Log of total debt of the firms	DC	+
Equity capital	Log of total equity of the firms	EQC	+
Total capital ratio	Log of total capital to total assets	TCR	+
Retention ratio	Log retained earnings	RR	+

Techniques of Analysis

The signs and significance of the regression coefficients were relied upon in explaining the nature and influence of the explained variables and dependent variables as to determine both magnitude and direction of impact. Regression analysis is often concerned with the study of the dependence of one variable, the dependent variable, on one or more other variables, the explanatory variables, with a view to estimating and/or predicting the population mean or average value of the former in terms of the known or fixed (in repeated sampling) values of the latter (Gujarati and Porter, 2009).

Coefficient of Determination (r²)

The coefficient of determination is the primary way we can measure the extent, or strength, of the association that exists between two variables. In other word, it is measure of degree of

linear association or correlation between two variables, one of which happen to be independent and other being dependent variable. It measures the percentage total variation in dependent variable explained by independent variables. The coefficient of determination value can have ranging from 0 to +1. If the regression line is perfect estimator $R^2 = +1$. Thus the value of $R^2 = 0$ when there is no correlation. In this study, coefficient of determination is calculated to know the degree of correlation of dividend per share with earning per share and market price per share with earning per share.

Regression Constant (a)

The value of constant, which is the intercept of the model, indicated the average level of dependent variable when independent variable is zero. In another words, it is better to understand that 'a' (constant) indicates the mean or average effect on dependent variable of all the variables omitted from the model.

Regression Coefficient

The regression coefficient of each independent variable indicates the marginal relationship between that variable and value of dependent variable, holding constant the effect of all other independent variables in the regression model. In other words, the coefficient describes how changes in independent variables affect the value of dependent variables estimate.

Standard Error of Estimate (SEE)

With the help of regression equations perfect prediction is practically impossible. The standard error of the estimate measures the accuracy of the estimated figures. It also measures the dispersion about an average line. If standard error of estimate is zero, then the estimating equation to be 'perfect' estimator of the dependent variable. It indicates that the smaller value of SE estimates the closer will be the dots to the regression line. Thus, with the help of standard error of estimate, it is possible for us to ascertain how good and representative the regression time is as a description of the average relationship between two series. In this research work, standard error of estimate is calculated for the selected dependent and independent variables specified on the model.

Regression Analysis

In coefficient analysis, two or more independent variables are used to estimate the value of dependent variables whereas in the simple regression analysis single independent variable is used to estimate the values of a dependent variable. Multiple regression analysis helps to know relative movement in the variable.

However, for the purpose of this study, panel data regression was employed because available data contain both time series and cross-sectional elements. A panel of data embodies information across time and space and most importantly, a panel retains the same entities and measures some quantity about them over time (Brooks, 2008). As such, this study employs the use of the panel data regression to analyze the performance of Nigerian manufacturing firms from 2009—2018.

Econometrically, the panel data standard linear model can be written as follows (Verbeek, 2012; Brooks, 2014);

$$Y_{it} = \beta_0 + X_{it}\beta + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (2)$$

Where Y_{it} is the dependent variable for firm i at time- t ; α_i is the intercept term; X_{it} is a k dimensional vector of independent variables; ε_{it} is the error term; the error term changes over individuals and time and encompasses all unobservable factors that affect Y_{it} .

Moreover, in examining the panel data set through multiple regression techniques, this study is aware of the treatment of the possibilities of individual effects in the adopted models. Individual effect implies that each individual has a divergent effect. There are two core individual effects models in panel data analysis: the fixed effects model and the random effects model (Koop, 2008).

The **Fixed Effects Model (FEM)** takes into account the existence of each individual effect of the observations in a particular model. Put differently, the FEM allows for heterogeneity or individuality among entities by allowing them to have separate intercept values. Hence, the individual effect subsists when it is assumed that each entity can have diverse intercepts in a particular model. Econometrically, the fixed effects model can be expressed as the equation below (Koop, 2008).

$$Y_{it} = \alpha_i + X_{it}\beta + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (3)$$

The above equation is almost similar with the common pooled model. Where, α_i symbolizes a fixed (individual) effect. The difference resides in α_i , which varies across entities. Hence, it allows each entity to have its own separate intercept.

While the **Random Effects Model (REM)** just like the fixed effects, model suggests different intercept terms for each entity, it maintains that intercepts are constant over time, with the relationships between independent and dependent variables assumed to be same, both cross-sectionally and temporally (Brooks, 2014). The random effects model can be written as:

$$Y_{it} = \beta_0 + X_{it}\beta + \alpha_i + u_{it} \quad (4)$$

Where, X_{it} is a k -dimensional vector of independent variables, but unlike the FEM, there are no dummy variables to capture the heterogeneity (variation) in the cross-sectional element.

$\varepsilon_{it} = \alpha_i + u_{it}$, which implies that the error term consists of two components: an individual specific component that does not vary over time, and a remainder component that is assumed to be uncorrelated over time (Brooks, 2014; Verbeek, 2012). Moreover, in deciding whether to adopt either the FEM or the REM, this study employs the Hausman-test. According to Koop (2008), the idea behind the Hausman-test rests on the assumption that if H_0 (the individual effect is uncorrelated with any of the independent variables) is true, then both the FEM and REM estimators are consistent and provide relatively identical results. But, in the instance where ' H_0 ' is false, the REM will be inappropriate, while FEM will be suitable, and the results obtained could be quite dissimilar.

Multiple regression analysis makes it possible to analyze the relationships between background variables and the dependent variables of interest under the fixed effects or random effects models. In essence, panel data regression analysis is employed to evaluate the relationship between the risk, agency cost and corporate financial policies of the manufacturing firms.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2: Test of Panel Unit Root at Level Series

Method: Series: NBV	Statistic	Prob.**	Cross-sections	Obs
Series: NBV				
Levin, Lin & Chu t*	-9.03409	0.0000	15	120
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-3.76192	0.0001	15	120
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	72.8094	0.0000	15	120
PP - Fisher Chi-square	62.6941	0.0004	15	135
Series: EQC				
Levin, Lin & Chu t*	-2.03574	0.0209	15	120
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-1.85991	0.0314	15	120
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	47.8808	0.0204	15	120
PP - Fisher Chi-square	116.415	0.0000	15	135
Series: TCR				
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-1.83348	0.0334	15	120
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	45.2401	0.0367	15	120
PP - Fisher Chi-square	115.522	0.0000	15	135
Series: DER				
Levin, Lin & Chu t*	-1.07312	0.1416	13	104
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	0.09093	0.5362	13	104
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	25.2622	0.5042	13	104
PP - Fisher Chi-square	73.3627	0.0000	13	117
Series: DC				
Levin, Lin & Chu t*	-1.41648	0.0783	15	120
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-1.45802	0.0724	15	120
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	42.7573	0.0615	15	120
PP - Fisher Chi-square	112.481	0.0000	15	135

Source: Extract from E-View Window, 9.0, 2020

The objective of table 2 was to test the stationarity of the variables on the relationship between financing policy and value of the quoted manufacturing firms at level using four test statistics which are Levin, Lin & Chu t, Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat, ADF - Fisher Chi-square and PP - Fisher Chi-square. The results show that stock price is not stationary at level using Levin, Lin & Chu t, Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat, ADF - Fisher Chi-square but stationary with PP - Fisher Chi-square. Other results show that some of variables are stationary at level with and PP - Fisher Chi-square while some are not stationary Levin, Lin & Chu t, Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat, ADF - Fisher Chi-square and PP - Fisher Chi-square.

Table 3: Test of Panel Unit Root at First Difference

Method	Statistic	Prob.**	Cross-sections	Obs
Series: D(NBV,2)				
Levin, Lin & Chu t*	-15.9430	0.0000	15	90
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-4.21918	0.0000	15	90
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	72.9396	0.0000	15	90
PP - Fisher Chi-square	159.490	0.0000	15	105
Series: D(EQC,2)				
Date: 09/18/20 Time: 07:46				
Levin, Lin & Chu t*	-11.5843	0.0000	15	90
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-6.93703	0.0000	15	90
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	110.716	0.0000	15	90
PP - Fisher Chi-square	245.481	0.0000	15	105
Series: D(TCR,2)				
Levin, Lin & Chu t*	-12.0914	0.0000	15	90
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-5.91314	0.0000	15	90
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	95.7184	0.0000	15	90
PP - Fisher Chi-square	244.202	0.0000	15	105
Series: D(DER,2)				
Levin, Lin & Chu t*	-7.61662	0.0000	13	78
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-3.82214	0.0001	13	78
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	64.6345	0.0000	13	78
PP - Fisher Chi-square	161.450	0.0000	13	91
Series: D(DC,2)				
Levin, Lin & Chu t*	-12.6478	0.0000	15	90
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-7.15901	0.0000	15	90
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	109.975	0.0000	15	90
PP - Fisher Chi-square	239.887	0.0000	15	105

Source: Extract from E-View Window, 9.0, 2021

The objective of table 3 was to test the stationarity of the variables on the relationship between financing policy and value of the quoted manufacturing firms at first difference using four test statistics which are Levin, Lin & Chu t, Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat, ADF - Fisher Chi-square and PP - Fisher Chi-square. The results show that all the variables are stationary at first difference, therefore we conclude that the variables are integrated in the order of 1(I).

Table 4: Presentation of Regression Results

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
DER	0.354882	0.101775	3.486914	0.0007
DC	-0.025266	0.139922	-0.180571	0.8570
EQC	0.119848	0.206943	0.579134	0.5635
TCR	0.000378	0.001212	0.311439	0.7560
C	0.526027	0.129448	4.063627	0.0001
Effects Specification				
Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)				
R-squared	0.745408	Mean dependent var		0.445667
Adjusted R-squared	0.710426	S.D. dependent var		0.445399
S.E. of regression	0.239679	Akaike info criterion		0.098860
Sum squared resid	7.525405	Schwarz criterion		0.480207
Log likelihood	11.58552	Hannan-Quinn criter.		0.253789
F-statistic	21.30826	Durbin-Watson stat		1.226578
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			
Correlated Random Effects - Hausman Test				
Test Summary		Chi-Sq. Statistic	Chi-Sq. d.f.	Prob.
Cross-section random		11.82942	4	0.0079

Source: Extract from E-View Window, 9.0, 2021

The probability of the Hausman test is $0.0079 < 0.05$ therefore the null hypothesis is rejected, and the alternate accepted, this implies that the fixed effect results is appropriate for the study. Base on the fixed effect results, we formulate the regression line.

$$NBV = 0.526027 + 0.354882 \text{ DER} - 0.025266 \text{ DC} + 0.119848 \text{ EQC} + 0.000378 \text{ TCR} + \epsilon_t$$

The result shows that the adjusted R^2 is 0.710426 indicating that the independent variables explained 71 percent of the systematic variation in the net book value of the quoted manufacturing firms over the observed years, while the remaining 29 percent is explained outside the unspecified variables, thus, exogenously explained. The F-statistic and probability informs that the model is significant while the Durbin Watson statistic informs that the results are free from autocorrelation. The regression results informed us that if the variables are hold constant, stock prices of the quoted manufacturing firms can increase by 1.37. The beta coefficient informs that total capital ratio, debt capital have negative relationship with net book value of the quoted manufacturing firms while equity capital, debt equity ratio and total capital ratio have positive relationship with the net book value of the quoted manufacturing firms. The probability coefficient of the variables informed us that debt equity ratio is statistically significant while total capital ratio, debt capital and total debt ratio have no significant relationship with net book value of the manufacturing firms.

Table 5: Pedroni Residual Cointegration Test

	<u>Statistic</u>	<u>Prob.</u>	<u>Weighted Statistic</u>	<u>Prob.</u>
Pedroni Residual Cointegration Test				
Series: NBV DER DC EQC TCR				
	<u>Statistic</u>	<u>Prob.</u>	<u>Weighted Statistic</u>	<u>Prob.</u>
Panel v-Statistic	-2.193555	0.0059	-2.787847	0.0073
Panel rho-Statistic	4.158185	0.0000	4.206195	0.0000
Panel PP-Statistic	2.248189	0.0077	2.926808	0.0083
Panel ADF-Statistic	-0.684193	0.2469	2.220888	0.0068
	<u>Statistic</u>	<u>Prob.</u>		
Group rho-Statistic	5.715949	0.0000		
Group PP-Statistic	2.803540	0.0075		
Group ADF-Statistic	0.983967	0.8374		

Source: Extract from E-View Window, 9.0, 2021

Table 5 tested the long run relationship between financing policy and the value of the quoted manufacturing firms. This is to investigate whether long-run steady state or cointegration exist among the variables and to confirm what Coiteux and Olivier (2000) state that the panel cointegration tests have much higher testing power than conventional cointegration test. Since the variables are found to be integrated in the same order I (1), we continue with the panel cointegration tests proposed by Pedroni (1999, 2004). In constant level, we found that the seven statistics reject null hypothesis of no cointegration at the five percent level of significance for the ADF statistic and group ρ -statistic, while the group -ADF is significant at one percent level.

Table 6: Pairwise Granger Causality Tests

Null Hypothesis:	Obs	F-Statistic	Prob.
DER does not Granger Cause NBV	120	2.22093	0.1131
NBV does not Granger Cause DER		2.21184	0.1141
DC does not Granger Cause NBV	120	0.00429	0.9957
NBV does not Granger Cause DC		0.18167	0.8341
EQC does not Granger Cause NBV	120	0.06854	0.9338
NBV does not Granger Cause EQC		0.75638	0.4717
TCR does not Granger Cause NBV	120	1.39551	0.2519
NBV does not Granger Cause TCR		1.10475	0.3348

Source: Extract from E-View Window, 9.0, 2021

As shown in table 6 there is no causal relationship between the variables (financing policy and value of the quoted manufacturing firms, this means we accept null hypothesis of no causal relationship as against the alternate.

Table 7: Test of Panel Cointegration Test for Sampled Firms

Cross ID	AR (1)	Variance	HAC	Bandwidth	Obs
Cross ID	AR(1)	Variance	Lag	Max lag	Obs
Berger paint plc	-0.401	0.001115	1	--	8
Premier paint plc	-0.041	0.004886	1	--	8
Dangote cement plc	-0.115	0.011021	1	--	8
Lafaragewapcplc	0.826	0.007710	1	--	8
Cutixplc	-0.128	0.008262	1	--	8
Cement company of north plc	Dropped from Test				
Flour mills plc	-0.037	0.004696	1	--	8
Unilever plc	-0.025	0.007730	1	--	8
Guinnessplc	0.691	0.005119	1	--	8
Nigeria breweries plc	0.358	0.017677	1	--	8
Glaxsmithlineplc	-0.591	0.032605	1	--	8
May and baker plc	-0.107	0.015115	1	--	8
Livestock feeds plc	-1.369	0.016788	1	--	8
National salt company plc	-0.480	0.023788	1	--	8
GEIF Company plc	0.368	0.019321	1	--	8

Source: Extract from E-View Window, 9.0, 2021

Table 7 presents the power of the mixed model (Stationary ARMA), all the test procedures produced high power over all the sample sizes at order 1 but ADF and KPSS produced low power over all the sample size at order 2 & 3.

Discussion of Findings

The fourth hypothesis was formulated to test the relationship between financing policy and net book value of the quoted manufacturing firms. The multiple regression formulated in the chapter three of this study had net book value as the dependent variable. Results from the estimated model shows that investment policy explains 71 percent (adjusted R^2) variation on earnings per share. The estimated regression line is significant when judged from the f-statistic and probability. The Durbin Watson statistic proved that the result is free from autocorrelation.

Findings of the study from the multiple regression results further revealed that total capital ratio have positive but no significant relationship with net book value of the quoted manufacturing within the periods covered in this study. The estimated coefficient indicates that increase in total capital ratio will reduce stock prices of the firms by 0.03 percent (see table 3). The positive relationship between total capital ratio and net book value of the quoted manufacturing firms confirm our a-priori expectation and contradict theories of capital structure such as the irrelevant theory of Modigliani and Miller 1958. The positive relationship between the variable contradict the findings of Rajin (2012) that the nature of relationship and the state of influence of the financial leverage on shareholder's return and market capitalization individually indicates positive relationship between financial leverage and shareholder return but negative relationship between financial leverage and market capitalization. Ujah and Brusa (2013) found that financial leverage and cash flow impact the degrees to which firms manage their earnings. Enuju and Soocheong (2005) found that the sign of financial leverage is positive meaning that more leveraged firms had more profits on average even though it was not statistically significant. Nazir and Saita (2013) studies financial leverage and agency cost, an empirical evidence of Pakistan. The study found out

that general and admin expense into to sales ratio is negatively related to all four-leverage ratio.

Findings of the study from the multiple regression results further revealed that equity capital have positive but no significant relationship with net book value of the quoted manufacturing within the periods covered in this study. The estimated coefficient indicates that increase in equity capital will increase stock price of the firms by 0.11 percent (see table 3). The positive relationship between equity capital and net book value of the quoted manufacturing firms confirm our a-priori expectation and justify theories of capital structure such as the relevant theory of Gordon in 1956. The positive relationship between the variable confirm the findings of Uzokwe (2019) whose findings validated the relevance of capital structure theory formulated by Gordon in 1956, Ndubuisi and Onyema (2019), whose findings indicated positive relationship between total debt to capital ratio, debt to asset ratio and long term debt to capital ratios and a negative relationship for the debt to equity ratio and the cost of debt, Rafika and Santoso (2018) found that firm value can be impacted from funding decision and the findings of Rehman (2013) show positive relationship of debt equity ratio with return on asset and sales growth, and negative relationship of debt equity ratio with net book value, net profit margin and return on equity.

Findings of the study from the multiple regression results further revealed that debt equity ratio have positive but no significant relationship with net book value of the quoted manufacturing within the periods covered in this study. The estimated coefficient indicates that increase in debt equity ratio will increase net book value of the firms by 0.35 percent (see table 3). The positive relationship between debt equity ratio and net book value of the quoted manufacturing firms confirm our a-priori expectation and justify theories of capital structure such as the relevant theory of Gordon in 1956. Like the findings above, the positive relationship between the variable confirm the findings of Uzokwe (2019) whose findings validated the relevance of capital structure theory formulated by Gordon in 1956, Ndubuisi and Onyema (2019), whose findings indicated positive relationship between total debt to capital ratio, debt to asset ratio and long term debt to capital ratios and a negative relationship for the debt to equity ratio and the cost of debt, Rafika and Santoso (2018) found that firm value can impacted from funding decision and the findings of Rehman (2013) show positive relationship of debt equity ratio with return on asset and sales growth, and negative relationship of debt equity ratio with net book value, net profit margin and return on equity.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

The result shows that the adjusted R^2 is 0.710426 indicating that the independent variables explained 71 percent of the systematic variation in the net book value of the quoted manufacturing firms. The beta coefficient found that total capital ratio, debt capital have negative relationship with net book value of the quoted manufacturing firms while equity capital, debt equity ratio and total capital ratio have positive relationship with the net book value of the quoted manufacturing firms. The probability coefficient found that debt equity ratio is statistically significant while total capital ratio, debt capital and total debt ratio have no significant relationship with net book value of the manufacturing firms.

Recommendations

1. Quoted firms in Nigeria are encouraged to make maximizing of their market values the major focus when deciding their choice of capital structure since there is a positive

significant relationship existing between their capital structure choice and their market values as revealed by the findings of this study.

2. Management of the manufacturing firms should strive to optimize their capital structure by an appropriate mix of debt-equity capital; for an optimal capital structure is the debt-equity mix that best maximize firms' market values.
3. Quoted firms always strike a balance between their choice of capital structures and the resultant effects on shareholders risks and returns, and the cost of capital. Professional and qualified personnel should be charged with the financing decision of firms in Nigeria since an optimal capital structure is a must for firms in Nigeria if they must compete effectively and survive in times of financial and economic distresses and attaining an optimal capital structure requires an effective and strategic planning.

REFERENCES

- Abor, J., & Quartey, P. (2010). Issues in SME Development in Ghana and South Africa. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, 3(9), 112-127.
- Ajao, S. O., & Nkechinyere A.C. (2012). Effective working capital management and profitability. A selected study of quoted companies in Nigeria. *Economics and Finance Review*, 2(6), 55-67.
- Al-Tally, H. A. (2014). *An investigation of the effects of financial leverage on firm performance in Saudi Arabia's public listed Companies* (Doctoral Thesis, Victoria Graduate School of Business, College of Business, Victoria University, Melbourne, Australia). Available from Vuir.vu.au/24843/1/Hassan%20Ahmed%20Al.
- Azmat, Q. U. A. (2014). Firm value and optimal cash level: evidence from Pakistan. *International Journal of Emerging Markets* 9 (4), 488-504.
- Baker, M., & Wurgler, J., 2004. Appearing and disappearing dividends: the link to catering incentives. *J. Financial Economics*, 73(2), 271-288.
- Baral, J.K. (2004). Determinant of capital structures: A case study of listed companies of Nepal. *The Journal of Nepalese Business Studies* 1(1) 1 – 10
- Black, F. (1976). The dividend puzzle. *Journal of Portfolio A 'lanagc', neni* 2(1), 5-8.
- Brigham, E. F., & M. C. Ehrhardt. (2013). *Financial management: Theory & practice*: Cengage Learning.
- Cahyono, H. S., & Sulistyawati, A. I. (2017). Keputusan investasi, Keputusan Pendanaan Kebijakan Dividen Sebagai Determinan Nilai Perusahaan. *Jurnal Akuisisi*, 13(1), 39-53
- Chen, R.-R., Yang, T. H. & Yeh, S. K. (2016). The liquidity impact on firm values: The evidence of Taiwan's banking industry. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 6(4), 90-111.
- Chirinko L. & Singha J. (2000). Debt Capacity and Tests of Capital Structure Theories. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, Vol. 45, No. 5
- Damouri, D., Khanagha, J.B., & Kaffash, M. (2013). *The relationship between changes in the financial leverage and the values of the Tehran listed firms*. 5(3), 56-89.
- Donaldson, G. (1961). *Corporate debt capacity: A study of corporate debt policy and the determination of corporate debt capacity*. Boston: Harvard
- Donaldson, G., (1961). *Corporate debt capacity: A study of corporate debt policy and the determination of corporate debt capacity*. Boston: Division of Research, Harvard School of Business Administration.
- Drobetz, W., & Grüninger, M.C. (2007). Corporate cash holdings: Evidence from Switzerland. *Financial markets Portfolio*, 2(1), 293-324.
- Fama, E. F. (1974). The empirical relationship between the dividend and investment decisions of firm. *The American Economic Review*, 64:304-318.
- Frank, M. Z., & Goyal, V. K., (2014). Testing the pecking order theory of capital structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 67, 217-248.
- Harris, M & Raviv, A. (1991). The Theory of Capital Structure. *The Journal of Finance*, Vol 46, pp 297-355.
- Hutchinson, M. (2001). A Contracting-Agency Analysis of the Association Between Firm Risk, Incentives and Firm Performance: An Australian Perspective. School of Accounting and Finance Deakin University 221 Burwood Highway Victoria,
- Khan, M.Y. & Jain P.K. (1992). *Financial Management, Test & Problem*, Tata Mc. Graw-Hill Publishing Com. Ltd.

- Loncan, T., & Caldeira, J. (2014). Capital structure, cash holdings and firm value: A study of Brazilian listed firms. *Revista Contabilidade & Finanças – USP, São Paulo*, 25 (64), 46-59
- Lucky, A. L., & Onyinyechi, G. U. (2019). Dividend policy and value of quoted firms in Nigeria: A test of Miller and Modigliani Irrelevant Hypothesis. *Australian Finance & Banking Review*, 3(2), 16-29.
- Modigliani, F & Miller, M. (1963). Corporate income taxes and the cost of capital: A correction. *American Economic Review*, 53 (3), 433-443.
- Modigliani, F., & M. H. Miller. (1958). The cost of capital, corporation finance and the theory of investment. *The American Economic Review*: 261-297
- Myers S. & Majluf, N. (1984) Corporate financing and investment decisions. *Journal of Financial Economics* 13, 187–222
- Myers, S., (1977). Determinants of corporate borrowing. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 9(4) 147-76.
- Myers, S., (1984). The Capital Structure Puzzle. *The Journal of Finance*, 3(9), 575-592.
- Myers, S., C., & Majluf, N., S., (1984). Corporate financing and investment decisions when firms have information investors do not have. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 13, 187-222.
- Myers, S., C., & Majluf, N., S., (1984). Corporate Financing and Investment Decisions When Firms Have Information Investors Do Not Have. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 13, 187-222.
- Ndubuisi, K. J. & Onyema, J.I (2019). Effect of financial leverage on profit growth of quoted non-financial firms in Nigeria. *J Fin Mark*. 2019; 3(1):9-14.
- Nwala, M.N., Gimba, J.T. & Oyedokun, G.E. (2020). Impact of corporate financial policies on firm value of quoted insurance firms in Nigeria. *Fountain University Osogbo Journal of Management (FUOJM)*, 2020, 5(1), 219 – 236.
- Okeke, M. (2019). Capital structure and firm value in Nigeria (evidence from selected quoted firms). *Journal on Banking Financial Services & Insurance Research*, Vol. 9, Issue 1, January 2019
- Pandey I. M. (2010). *Financial Management*. 10th edition, Vikas Publishing House PVT LTD, Jangpura, New Delhi.
- Pratheepkanth, P. (2011). Capital Structure and Financial Performance: Evidence from Selected Business Companies in Colombo Stock Exchange. *Journal of Arts, Science & Commerce*, 2(2): 171-183
- Prombutr, W., L. Lockwood, & J. D. Diltz. (2010). Investment irreversibility, cash flow risk, and value-growth stock return effects. *Financial Review* 45 (2):287-305.
- Rafika, M. & Santoso, B. H. (2018). Influence Investment Decisions, Decisions Funding, And Dividend Policy against Corporate Values. *Journal of Management Studies & Research*, 6 (11).
- Raheman, A., (2013). Working capital management and profitability Case of Pakistani firms. *International Review of Business Research Paper*, 3 (1), 279-300.
- Rajan, R. (2012). Presidential address: The corporation in finance. *The Journal of Finance*. Lxvii(4), 67-89.
- Rajin, S., (2012). Impact of financial leverage on shareholders returns and market Capitalization: Empirical evidence of telecommunication sector Companies India. *International Journal of Research in IT, Management and Engineering*, 2(12), 100-123.
- Ross, S. A. (1973). The economic theory of agency: The Principal's Problem. *The American Economic Review*, 63 (2), 134-139.

- Taani, K. (2012). Impact of working capital management policy and financial leverage on financial performance: Empirical evidence from Amman Stock Exchange – listed companies. *International Journal of Management Sciences and Business Research*, 1(8), 45-63.
- Tekker, D. Tasseven, O. and Takel, A. (2009). Determinants of Capital Structure for Turkish Firms: A Panel Data Analysis”, *International Journal of Finance and Economics* 29 180- 187
- Uzokwe, G. O. (2019). Debt Financing and Corporate Finance Performance: A Dynamic Investigation from Nigeria Quoted Firms. *American International Journal of Business and Management Studies*, 19(1), 56-59.
- Van Horne, J. C. & Wachowicz, J.M. (1979). *Fundamental of financial management*, Prentice-Hall of India.
- Vo, X.V. & Ellis, C. (2017). An empirical investigation of capital structure and firm value in Vietnam. *Finance Research Letters*, 22(C), 90–94