# AUDIT COMMITTEE NUMBER OF MEETINGS AND EARNINGS MANAGEMENT IN QUOTED NIGERIAN BANKS

#### **TEMPLE MOSES**

Department of Accounting, Faculty of Management Sciences, University of Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.

Email: sirtemplemoses@yahoo.com

#### **Abstract**

The study investigated the effect of audit committee number of meetings on quality of financial reporting in quoted Nigerian banks. The investigation adopted archival data generated from fifteen banks whose stocks are bought and sold on the floor of Nigerian Stock Exchange at December 31, 2016. The research design applied in this investigation was 'correlational research design'. The Jones (1991) modified model offered the proxy for earnings management which represented the quality of financial reporting. Analyzing the data using the Pearson product moment correlation coefficient and regression analysis techniques with the aid of SPSS statics 22.0, the interaction between the audit committee number of meetings and the quality of financial reporting of these banks was found to be statistically insignificant. Therefore, we recommend that audit committee members should meet frequently and adequately check the financial statements of these banks by so doing, earnings manipulation will be curtailed drastically.

**Keywords:** Audit committee, Audit committee number of meetings, Quality of financial reporting, Earnings management.

#### Introduction

In banks, the activity of financial reporting lies on the shoulders of the directors and this is executed by the accountants and confirmed by the auditors. The aim is to come up with a trust worthy and timely information that will enable users fortify their opinion. In the opinion of Kamaruzaman, Mazlifa and Maisarah (2009), financial statements should be able to discuss apposite, dependable, similar and all-embracing information. Johnson *et.al.* (2002) postulated that every twelve months report should be free from prejudice because the fiscal prodigy kept in annual disclosures is consistently being measured within the occurrence of uncertainty.

Yadirichukwu and Ebimobowie (2013) noted that the performance of these objectives depends on financial report being available on time to inform decision making. Warren and Reese (2004) assert that the use of economic disclosure is to get through to the economic performance and wellbeing of a business that seeks to trail managements' exploit and assist in making profitable decisions. This is as clear as crystal since financial reporting quality over the years has remained a critical issue of interest among regulatory bodies, shareholders, accounting professionals and scholars. This is due to the fact that financial reporting stands as a prime factor in transferring financial information to users outside the firm. Empirical studies in the financial reporting background (e.g. Wallace, 1988; Okike, 2000; Adeyemi, 2006; and Ofoegbu and Okoye 2006), indicated clearly that firms that try to provide their financial statements annually to the public hardly follow the approved standard.

In recent times, some empirical investigations on the audit committee have been undertaken, (for example, Soliman and Ragab, 2014; Yadirichukwu and Ebimobewei, 2013; Hamdan, Al-Hayale and Aboagela, 2012 and Odegunju, 2011). The above mentioned studies offer solid confirmation that financial reporting is influenced via audit committee characteristics which relates value maximizing motives. This study however, is a unique one utilizing only one attribute of audit committee characteristics (number of meetings) to check its effect in enriching the financial reporting quality in quoted Nigerian banks from 2010-2014.

Habbash (2010) opined that regulators around the world has generally recognized audit committee's significant duty as regards to economic recording long ago before the economic indignity happened at the expiration of the previous era. Audit committee exists so as to ensure the accuracy of financial reports (Felo and Solieri, 2009; Buchalter and Yokomoto, 2003). Klein (2002) submits that audit committee bridges the gap in information differences that exist between outside assessor and the manager and makes checking procedure easy and also heightens the independence of the auditors from management. It is expected therefore, that a well constituted and functional audit committee should be capable of increasing its supervisory role of financial reporting process and positing a good quality of financial control.

## Theoretical framework

Having respect to agency theory, agency skirmishes emanates as likely discrepancy of concern amongst owners (principals) and directors. The setting aside of ownership and control in the contemporary business world brings about conflicts of interest between managers and shareholders. Predominantly, the onus of administrators remains to administer the business in a manner that it begets earnings to owners to enhance the turnover records (Elliot 2002). Generally, agency concept explicates how to superlatively arrange dealings where a given party decides the work, whereas the other party executes the work. This rapport depicts a situation where the principal hires an agent to perform a task in which he is not willing to do. There is the assumption that the principal and the agents are moved by self-interest. This assumption of egocentricity exposes the agency into unavoidable built-in conflicts.

Wealth maximization is a modern approach to financial management. In the past, profit serves as the primary concern of business and financial management. Today, the concept of wealth maximization exists as a higher goal when compared to profit maximization since it considers a wider perspective. The market price of the capital invested by shareholders is referred to as the wealth or value of a business. Wealth maximization implies the maximization of shareholders wealth. The wealth of the shareholders is maximized when the net worth of a company maximizes. Finance managers act for the shareholders and their primary concern is to look after the shareholders' interest. The shareholders expect good return on their investment.

## **Audit committee number of meetings**

The workings of the group are determined by the aggregate sum of assemblies held twelve-monthly. The frequency at which the team meets depicts how effective audit committee spends time to amend any instant development and put forward an excellent reappraisal and controlled atmosphere. This may accelerate the detection of financial statement errors. The incidence of committee meetings in a year to a great extent determines its effectiveness Menon and Williams (1994). Bryan, Liv and Tiras (2004) remarked that the frequent meetings of audit committee enhance the clearness and unobstructed reporting of earnings,

thereby enhancing earnings quality. Oversight functions are carried out more effectively by audit committee members who meet on regular basis. This assertion corroborates with Lipton and Lorsch (2011) submission that improvement in the efficacy of the committee is noticeable as soon as the committee number of meetings upturns.

## Aim and Objective of the Study

The aim of this paper is to empirically investigate the relationship between audit committee number of meetings and earnings quality in quoted Nigerian banks. Its specific objective is to determine the influence of audit committee number of meetings on earnings management in quoted Nigerian banks.

## **Research Question**

In pursuance of the aim of and objective of the study, this paper poses the research question below to guide the research focus:

➤ To what extent does audit committee number of meetings influence earnings management in quoted Nigerian banks?

## **Research Hypothesis**

**Ho**<sub>1</sub>: Audit committee number of meetings has no significant influence on earnings management in quoted Nigerian banks.

## Methodology

The study adopted the correlational research design otherwise called prospective research design towards investigating the relationship flanked by the explanatory variable and response variable of the study. One basic advantage of correlational research design according to Ofurum (2009), Tsegba and Herbert (2013), is its narrow study coverage which permits the generalization of research results and conclusions.

The population of the study is made up of all the fifteen banks whose shares are bought and sold on the floor of Nigerian Stock Exchange as at December 31, 2014. These banks are: Access Bank, Eco Bank, Diamond Bank, FCMB, Fidelity Bank, First Bank, GTB, Skye Bank, Stanbic IBTC, Sterling Bank, UBA, Union Bank, Unity Bank, Wema Bank and Zenith Bank.

In view of the research design and in agreement with the aim and objective of the study stated, the Pearson correlation was applied to determine the regression coefficient in the model specification while the linear regression was used to estimate the effect of the explanatory variable (i.e. audit committee number of meetings) on the response variable (i.e. "quality of financial reporting) represented by earnings management and measured by discretionary accruals"

## **Operational Measurement of variables**

Variables applied in this paper remained measured as showcased in Table 1 below:

#### **Table 1: Variables Measurement**

Variables	Label	Measurement
Criterion variable		
Discretionary accruals	DAC	Determined by means of
		Jones (1991) modified model
Predictor variable		
Audit committee number of	ACM	Aggregate of meetings held
meetings		in a period

To investigate earnings management, this paper estimate total accruals and thereafter employed the revised Jones model to investigate discretionary accruals. We also estimated total accruals using items from statement of comprehensive income and statement of cash flow of banks in line with Dabor and Adeyemi (2009); Daniel and Paul (2000); Collins and Hribar (2002); William (2004); Keefe (2012); Ilanit (2007) and Dechow and Ge (2006).

$$TA_{it} = PBTE_{it} - CFO_{it} \dots (i)$$

Where:

TA<sub>it</sub> = Total Accruals of banks I at time t

PBTE<sub>it</sub> = Profit before taxation and extraordinary activities of bank I at time t

 $CFO_{it} = Cash$  flow from operation of bank I at time t.

The modified Jones model is shown below:

$$\frac{TA_{it}}{DAC_{it} = A_{it-i}} - \left(\beta O + \beta_{1t} \frac{1}{A_{it-1}} + \frac{\beta_{2t} \Delta GE_{it} - \Delta NL_{it}}{A_{it-1}} + \beta_{3t} \frac{PPE_{it}}{A_{it-1}}\right) + \varepsilon \qquad .....(ii)$$

Where;

DAC<sub>it</sub> = Discretionary Accrual of bank i at time t

TA<sub>it</sub> = Total Accruals of bank i determined by subtracting profit before taxation, exceptional and extraordinary items and cash flow from operation for year t

 $A_{it}$  = Asset at the commencement of the year

 $\Delta GE_{it}$  = Variation in Gross Earnings as of time t -1 to t

 $\Delta NL_{it}$  = Change in the analysis of total loans and advances and non- performing loan as of time t-1 to t to reflect variation in Net Loans ( $\Delta NL$ )

PPE = Gross Property, Plant and Equipment

E = Error term/random term

Where,

GE = Gross Earnings

IINC = Interest Income

FCOM = Fee Commission

FOREXINC = Foreign Exchange Income

TINC = Trusteeship Income

ININC = Investments Income

SHINC = Share Income

OINC = Other Income

$$NL = TL - NPL$$
....(iv)

Where:

NL = Net Loan

TL = Total Loans

NPL = Non-Performing Loans

## **Model Specification**

The analytical model considered in this paper took audit committee number of meetings as predictor variable and earnings management proxied by discretionary accruals as criterion variable. Having regards to the work of Ofurum and Lezaasi (2011), which is based on the studies by Tsegba and Herbert (2013), the study specified model on the attempt to ascertain the influence of audit committee number of meetings on earnings management of quoted banks in Nigeria as follows:

$$DAC = f(ACM)....(v)$$

$$DAC = \beta_0 + \beta_3 ACM + E....(vi)$$

Where:

DAC = Discretionary Accruals

 $\beta_0 = Intercept$ 

 $\beta_1 - \beta_4 = \text{Coefficient of predictor variables}$ 

ACM = Audit committee number of meetings

## **Results and Discussion**

This paper used descriptive statistic as well as specific rigorous models for ascertaining the correlation between audit committee number of meetings and the quality of financial reporting represented by earnings management and measured by discretionary accruals. The hypothesis was analyzed with the Pearson product moment correlation coefficient and regression analysis techniques.

**Table 2: Descriptive Statistics** 

	N	Minimu m	Maximu m	Mean		Std. Deviation
	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Std. Error	Statistic
ABVDAC	75	.0019	.8743	.124523	.0146902	.1272212
ACM	75	2.0000	5.0000	3.853333	.1034423	.8958362
Valid N (listwise)	75					

The table above shows that the discretionary accruals which is in its absolute value possess an average mean of 0.124523 while the audit committee number of meetings shows an average meeting times of 3.853333 (approximately 4) which denotes that majority of the employed banks meet four times annually on the average. The standard deviation showing the level of variation or discrepancies in the movement of employed variables away or towards the average, it can be seen that the output shows very low standard deviation, showing that all employed variables lacked great variation from the average score but looking closer, the highest variation emanated from audit committee number of meetings (ACM): 0.8958362 as various quoted banks had various annual meeting times which ranged from two to six times yearly which varied for each bank, the least variation came from discretionary accruals (0.1272212) which shows that there exists very little discrepancies in the sampled banks discretionary accruals (see Table 4.1 in the main research work).

#### **Bivariate Analysis**

This shows the level of association between employed variables and the duration of movement amongst them. The Table 3 below is extracted from the SPSS statistic 22.0 output (see Appendix ii- iii in the main research work).

Table3: Extract of the Correlation Matrix of the Explanatory and Response Variables

Variables	ACM	
Pearson Correlation	-0.001	
Sig. (2-tailed)	0.993	
N	75	

From the output above in table 3, it can be seen that a negligible negative correlation was discovered between committee activities/meetings and discretionary accruals, as a negative coefficient of -0.001 was discovered at 0.993 significance level which appears to be greater than the 0.05 significance level, which signifies that committee activities/meetings do not result to a reduction in discretionary accruals of the banks. This illustrates that committee activities/meetings do not influence the discretionary accruals of listed banks as discovered by the study.

## Regression

The study further moves to find the influence of the predictor on the criterion by carrying out a regression exercise as displayed below in table 4 which is a summary of the model estimate extracted from the SPSS statistic 22.0 output (see Appendix vi in the main research work).

**Table 8: Extract of the Model Estimate** 

Variable	R	$\mathbb{R}^2$	В	Std. Error	F	T	Sig.
ACM	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.017	0.000	- 0.009	0.993

Utilizing audit committee number of meetings by way of the explanatory variable and discretionary accruals by way of the response variable. From the table above, the R which represents the correlation coefficient shows a poor explanation of o.1%, while the more decisive variable R<sup>2</sup> shows an output of 0.000, which signifies that variation in the explanatory variable (audit committee number of meetings) does not account for any variation in the response variable. This automatically resulted in the Analysis of Variance table (ANOVA) showing an insignificant regression at the 0.993 level which remains greater than the 0.05 level of significance, while the coefficient shows that audit committee number of meetings showcases that a neutral influence as denoted by its coefficient of 0.000 and has a very low shock level as shown by its standard error of 0.017 and was found to be statistically insignificant based on its t-statistics of -0.009 at the 0.993 significance level which stands greater than the 0.05 significance level.

# **Hypothesis Testing**

The hypothesis posits that audit committee number of meetings has no significant influence on earnings management in quoted Nigerian banks. Employing the coefficient table in appendix vi in the main research work, and judging by the significance level of 0.993 which is greater than the 0.05 level as depicted in the regression table also in appendix vi in the main research work, the study therefore does not reject the null hypothesis and thus concludes that audit committee number of meetings has no significant influence on earnings management in quoted Nigerian banks during the period of the study.

# **Discussion of Findings**

The study discovered that audit committee number of meetings has no significant/substantial influence on earnings management in quoted Nigerian banks during time of the study. This discovery is in disagreement with the finding of collier (1992). This research submitted that the rate of audit committee meetings has a significant positive relationship with audit committee effectiveness. However, the discovery is in agreement with that of Bedard *et al* (2004) who established no association between audit committee number of meetings/activities and earnings management. Finally the present study refused to give a nod to the outcomes of Persons (2009); Vafeas (2005) and Abbott *et al.* (2004) who recorded a significant relationship between a higher level of audit committee activity and minor incidence of deceitful economic disclosure.

#### **Conclusion and Recommendations**

In line with the analysis and testing of the influence of audit committee number of meetings on earnings management in quoted Nigerian banks, the study concludes that committee number of meetings has no significant influence on earnings management in quoted Nigerian banks during the period covered by the study and therefore we recommend that audit

committee members should meet frequently and adequately check the financial statements of these banks by so doing, earnings manipulation will be curtailed drastically.

#### References

- Abbott, L.J., Parker, S. & Peters, G.F. (2004). Audit Committee Characteristics and Restatements. Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory. 23(1): 69-87.
- Adeyemi, S.B. (2006). Impact of Accounting Standards on Financial Reporting in Nigeria. Unpolished Ph.D Thesis, University of Lagos.
- Bedard, J. Chtourov, S.M. and Courteau, I.L. (2004). The Effect of audit Committee Expertise, Independence and Activity on Aggressive Earnings Management. Journal of Practice and Theories.23 (2): 13-35.
- Bryan, D., Liv, M.H.C. and Tiras, S.L. (2000). "The Influence of Independent and effective Audit Committee on Earnings Quality". *Working Paper*, State University of New York, Buttalo.
- Buchalter, S. and Yokomoto, K. (2003). Audit Committees Responsibility and Liability. The Accounting Review 43: 1-7.
- Collier, P. (1992). *Audit committees in large UK companies*. London: ICAEW Research Board.
- Collins, D. & Hribar, P. (2002). Errors in Estimating Accruals: Implications for Empirical Research. Journal of Accounting Research. 40, 105-135.
- Dabor, E.L. & Adeyemi, S.B. (2009). Corporate Governance and the Credibility of Financial Statements in Nigeria. Journals of Business Systems, Governance and Ethics. 4(1).
- Daniel, W.C. & Paul, H. (2009). Errors in Estimating Accruals: Implications for Empirical Research Henry B. Tippie Research Chair in Accounting, Tippie College of Business, University of Lowa, Lowa city, IA 52242 and Johnson Graduate School of Management, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14850.
- Dechow, P. & Ge, W. (2006). The Persistence of Earnings and Cash Flows and the Role of Special Items: Implications for the Accrual Anomaly. Review of Accounting Studies, 11(23): 253-296.
- Elliot, B. and Elliot, J. (2002). Financial Accounting and Reporting 12<sup>th</sup> edition, London, Prentice Hall/Financial Times.
- Felo, A.J. and Solieri, S.A. (2009). "Are all Audit committee Financial Experts Created Equally". International Journal of Disclosure and Governance 6(2): 221-231.
- Habbash, M. (2010). "The Effectiveness of Corporate Governance and External Audit on Constraining Earnings management Practice in the UK". *Ph.D Thesis*. Durham University.
- Hamdan, A.M., Al-Hayale, T.H. & Aboagela, E.M. (2012). The Impact of Audit committee Characteristics on Accounting Conservatism: Additional Evidence from Jordan. J. King Sand Univ.Vol. 24, Admin Sci (1): 1-15.

- IIanit, G. (2007). Market Reaction to Earnings Management: The Incremental Contribution of Analyst. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*. http://www.eurojournals.com/finance.htm
- Johnson, E., I. Khurana and K. Reynolds (2002). Audit firm tenure and quality of financial reports. Contemporary Accounting Research, 19(4): 193-228.
- Jones, J.J. (1991). Earnings Management during Import Relief Investigations. *Journals of Accounting Research*, 29:193-228.
- Kamaruzaman, A.J., Mazlifa M.D. & Maisarah A.R. (2009). The Association between Firm Characteristics & Financial Statement Transparency: The case Egypt. *International Journal of Accounting*, 18(2):211-223.
- Keefe, T. (2012). Earnings Quality: Measuring the Discretionary Portion of Accruals Accounting: Fundamental Analysis, available at <a href="https://www.investopedia.com">www.investopedia.com</a>
- Klein, D.J. (2002). Audit Committee, Board of Directors Characteristics & Earnings Statements. Journal Accounting and Economics. 33, 375-400.
- Lipton, M. and Lorsh, J. (2011). A Model Proposal for Improved Corporate Governance. Business Lawyer, 48(1): 59-77.
- Menon, K. and Williams, J. (1994). "The Use of Audit Committees for Monitoring". *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 13(2): 1-39.
- Odegunju, A. (2011). An Empirical Analysis of Impacts of Auditors Independence on Recredibility of Financial Statements in Nigeria. *Research Journal of Finance & Accounting* 2(3): 32-98.
- Ofoegbu, G. & Okoye, E. (2006). The Relevance of Accounting of Auditory Standards in Corporate Financial Reporting in Nigeria: Emphasis on Compliance. The Nigeria Accountant, 39(4): 45-53.
- Ofurum, C.O. (2009). Value for Money Audit and Financial Efficiency: Evidence from the South Geopolitical Region of Nigeria: Management *Stream*, (2).
- Ofurum, C.O. and Tobira, L. (2011). Corporate Governance and Financial Performance in Nigeria. *International Journal of Research and Management*, 2(8).
- Okike, E.N. (2000). Corporate Governance in Nigeria: The Status Quo, Corporate Financial Reporting. Journal of Accounting Review, 10(1): 13-37.
- Persons, O.S. (2009). Audit Committee Characteristics and Earlier voluntary Ethics Disclosure among fraud and non-Fraud Firms. *International Journal of Disclosure and Governance*, 6(4): 284-297.
- Soliman, M.M. & Ragab, A.A. (2014). Audit Committee Effectiveness, Audit Quality & Earnings Management: An Empirical Study of Listed Companies in Egypt. Research Journal of Finance & Accounting, 5(2): 155-166.
- Tsegba, I.N. and Herbert, W.E. (2013). Corporate Governance, Ownership structure and Firm Performance in Nigeria. Research Journal of Finance and Accounting, 1(5).
- Vafeas, N. (2005). Audit committees, boards and the quality of reported earnings. Contemporary Accounting Research 22, pp. 1093-1122.

- Wallace, R.S.O. (1988). Corporate Financial Reporting in Nigeria. *Accounting and Business research*, 13(72) 352-362.
- Warren, C.S., and Reeze, J.M. (2004). Financial accounting for future business Leaders. Ohio: Thomson/South-Western publisher.
- William, H.B. (2004). Accounting Choice in Troubled Companies: An Examination of Earnings Management by NASDAQ Firms in Jeopardy of Delisting. A Dissertation Submitted to the Faculty of the Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University in Partial fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy.
- Yadirichukwu E. & Ebimobewei A. (2013). Audit Committee and Timeliness of Financial Reports: Empirical Evidence from Nigeria. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable development*.