FIRM SIZE, AGE AND OPERATING CASH FLOW: EMPIRICAL STANDPOINT ON NIGERIAN BANKING SECTOR

OSIFO .I.U. OSAGIE

Department of Accounting, Faculty of Management Sciences, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT

The objective of this study is to ascertain the relationship between firm size, age and operating cash flow with much emphasis on the Nigerian banking industry. The study employed the panel least square (PLS) regression technique; while panel data for ten (10) banks, five (5) were generated from the Nigerian stock exchange. The result of our estimate reveals that Size, approximately has an insignificant positive impact on Operating Cash Flow, and that Age has an insignificant negative impact on Operating Cash Flow. Consequently, it was recommended that focus should be directed towards other firm characteristics in the determination of operating cash flows.

INTRODUCTION

Background to the study

Cash flow from operations reflects the actual liquid position of any organization and explains the ability of a firm to meet its maturing short term obligation as they fall due. The fundamental concept of cash flows tends to eliminate any ambiguities as may be captured in the income statement which is used in the disclosures of either profit or loss as the case may be. This presupposes that at face value, the accounting construct called profit/loss might be deceptive, while cash is the ultimate reality. However, the cash flows from operations are the most important aspect of the tripods of the statement of cash flows because of the seemingly peculiar nature. The size and age of a firm may have a bearing on its ability to generate enough cash flows from the day to day activities of such organization. A firm's size usually is the total asset of such firm at a particular point in time while the concept of age could be interpreted differently depending on the situation or context where it is been used.

Jafari, Gord, and Beerhouse (2014) noted that cash flow sensitivity of investment is considered as one of the components of financial decisions. Because, the ability of the company's investment can affect in such a way the financing of company and as a result it is considered a kind of financial decision. They submitted that change in operating cash flow as a source of cash flow of companies has a significant impact on asset and capital structure, including cash flow holdings, investment and external financing. So that an increase in firms cash flow in the short term cause to increase savings and reduce external financing and increases in long-term investment and external finance. Hertenstein and McKinnon (1997) opined that regardless of how the cash flow from operating activities section is formatted, it is important to remember that it is the most important of the three sections of the statement because it describes how cash is being generated or used by the primary activities of the company visa-a-vis it relationship with firm characteristics such as firm age, and size. Consequently, this study investigates the effect of these characteristics on operating cash flows.

Statement of research problem

Several and related studies have been carried out in this area with focus on different sectors. Fagiolo and Luzzi (2004) investigated whether liquidity constraints affect firm size and growth dynamics using a large longitudinal sample of Italian manufacturing firms, and found out that pooled size distributions depart from log-normality and growth rates are well approximated by fat-tailed, tent-shaped (Laplace) densities. However, Adelegan (2009) investigated how the incidence and severity of information and agency problems vary across firms and over time in Nigeria, by assessing the differential effects on corporate investment. It was concluded that the effect of size is neutral, and that older firms tend to rely more on internal funds to finance their corporate investment than the newer firms, maintaining that the effect of financial factors on investment varies across firms according to their industrial characteristics. Meanwhile Jafari, Gord, and Beerhouse (2014) in their study examines the relationship between some variables (debt, firm size and liquidity), using 100 companies among the listed companies in Tehran Stock Exchange during the five-year returns, 2008 to 2012. Their result shows that there is an inverse relationship between debt and cash flow sensitivity, and that there is significant relationship between firm size and sensitivity and positive cash flow investment there.

The aforementioned studies did not lay much emphasis on the banking sector thus culminating in a gap in scope which this study wants to fill, hence in a bid to fill this gap and make meaningful contribution to knowledge; the study shall provide answers to the following questions:

1. What is the relationship between firm size and operating cash flow?

2. How does firm age affect operating cash flow?

Objectives of the study

The general objective is to ascertain the relationship between firm characteristics and operating cash flows, while the following constitutes the specific objectives:

1. Determine the relationship between firm size and operating cash flow; and

2. Ascertain the effect of firm age on its operating cash flows.

Hypotheses

The following hypotheses will be tested in this study

H_{o1} There is a negative relationship between firm size and operating cash flow

H_{o2} Firm age has no effect on operating cash flows

LITERATURE REVIEW

Introduction

This session will review relevant and related literatures on firm size, age and operating cash flow. It includes the conceptual framework, theoretical framework, and empirical review of related studies.

Conceptual framework

Firm size and age

According to Heydari, Mirzaeifar and Javadghayedi (2014) different researchers used different indexes for measurement of firm size. Kroes and Manikas (2014) used sales logarithm, Abor (2008) used assets logarithm and Nakeur, Goaied, and Blanes (2006) used capital market value logarithm. Due to the inflammation dominated on Iran's economy and unrelated assets based on historical values, using sales for determining the size of companies is better.

Operating Cash Flow

Hertenstein and McKinnon (1997) opined that cash flow from operating activities shows the results of cash inflows and outflows related to the fundamental operations of the basic line or lines of business in which the company engages. The cash flow statement is one of the most useful financial statements companies prepare. When analyzed in a rational, logical manner, it can illuminate a treasure trove of clues as to how a company is balancing its receivables and payables, paying for its growth, and otherwise managing its flow of funds.

Review of relevant and related empirical studies

Firm Size and Operating Cash Flow

Fagiolo and Luzzi (2004) investigated whether liquidity constraints affect firm size and growth dynamics using a large longitudinal sample of Italian manufacturing firms. They run standard panel-data Gibrat regressions, suitably expanded to take into account liquidity constraints (proxied by cash flow scaled by firm sales), and characterized the statistical properties of firms size, growth, age, and (scaled) cash flow distributions. However the pooled data show that: (i) liquidity constraints engender a negative, statistically significant, effect on growth once one controls for size; (ii) smaller firms grow more (and experience more volatile growth patterns) after controlling for liquidity constraints; (iii) the stronger liquidity constraints, the more size negatively affects firm growth. They found that pooled size distributions depart from log-normality and growth rates are well approximated by fattailed, tent-shaped (Laplace) densities. Adelegan (2009) investigated how the incidence and severity of information and agency problems vary across firms and over time in Nigeria, by assessing the differential effects on corporate investment. She adopted a reduced form q-cash flow model and interaction approach to examine the effects of firm size, age and industry specific characteristics on cash flow. Using panel data for Nigerian manufacturing firms from 1984-2000, it was concluded that the effect of size is neutral.

Jafari, Gord, and Beerhouse (2014) examines the relationship between some variables (debt, firm size and liquidity), using 100 companies among the listed companies in Tehran Stock Exchange during the five-year returns, 2008 to 2012. Their result shows that there is an

inverse relationship between debt and cash flow sensitivity, and that there is significant relationship between firm size and sensitivity and positive cash flow investment there.

Age and Operating Cash Flow

Adelegan (2009) submitted in her study that, older firms tend to rely more on internal funds to finance their corporate investment than the newer firms, maintaining that the effect of financial factors on investment varies across firms according to their industrial characteristics.

METHODOLOGY

The analyses of data in this research was done with the panel least square (PLS) regression technique; while panel data for ten (10) banks, five (5) were generated from the Nigerian stock exchange.

Model Specification

OCF = F (Size, Age)

 $OCF_{it} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Size_{it} + \alpha_2 Age_{it} + U_{it}$

Where

 OCF_{it} = operating cash flow for firm i in year t

 $Size_{it} = size \ of \ firm \ i \ in \ year \ t$

 $Age_{it} = Age \ of firm \ i \ in \ year \ t$

 U_{it} = stochastic error term

 $\alpha_{1,} \alpha_{2,} \alpha_{3} =$ Regression coefficients

Data presentation, analyses and interpretation

A total of ten (10) banks data for the period 2010-2014 were generated from relevant research data of the Nigeria stock exchange and used for analyses in this research.

Results of the descriptive statistics

Table 1.1

	OCF	SIZE	AGE
Mean	8578506.	4.79E+08	36.40000
Median	0.000000	21241394	26.00000
Maximum	2.14E+08	2.34E+09	69.00000
Minimum	-2.79E+08	0.000000	20.00000
Std. Dev.	69501029	7.04E+08	17.34523
Skewness	-0.342616	1.354817	0.744494
Kurtosis	9.674880	3.467567	1.907527
Jarque-Bera	93.79909	15.75153	7.105382
Probability	0.000000	0.000380	0.028647
Sum	4.29E+08	2.40E+10	1820.000
Sum Sq.	2.37E+17	2.43E+19	14742.00
Dev.			
Observations	50	50	50

Source: Researchers computation (2015) using Eviews 8.0

The result of the descriptive statistics in Table 1.1 above shows the statistics of our data. It shows that OCF, which is the main variable of interest as it is the dependent variable has a mean value of 8578506, while its standard deviation is 69501029, it has a Jarque-Bera value of 93.79909. Size has a mean value of 4.79E+08 and a standard deviation of 7.04E+08, while Age has a mean value of 36.40000 and standard deviation of 17.34523. All the variables but OCF exhibited positive skewness.

Results of the Correlation matrix

Table 1.2

Covariance Analysis: Ordinary Date: 10/16/15 Time: 03:29 Sample: 2010 2014 Included observations: 50

Correlation	OCF	SIZE	AGE
OCF	1.000000		
SIZE	0.080809	1.000000	
AGE	-0.159804	-0.297502	1.000000

Source: Researchers computation (2015) using Eviews 8.0

Table 1.2 above shows the association among the variables employed in our study. It shows that OCF has a positive relationship with Size, and a negative relationship with Age with correlation coefficient values of 0.080809, and -0.159804 respectively. Similarly, Size has negative relationship with Age with correlation coefficient value of -0.297502.

Test of hypotheses and discussion of findings

Table 1.3

Dependent Variable: OCF Method: Panel Least Squares Date: 10/16/15 Time: 03:29 Sample: 2010 2014 Periods included: 5 Cross-sections included: 10 Total panel (balanced) observations: 50

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C SIZE	28575362 0.003605	26934956 0.014887	1.060902 0.242145	0.2942 0.8097
AGE	-596817.0	603945.1	-0.988197	0.3281
R-squared	0.026752	Mean deper	ndent var	8578506.
Adjusted R-squared	-0.014663	S.D. depen	dent var	69501029
S.E. of regression	70008728	Akaike info	o criterion	39.02426
Sum squared resid	2.30E+17	Schwarz cr	iterion	39.13898
Log likelihood	-972.6066	Hannan-Qu	inn criter.	39.06795
F-statistic	0.645944	Durbin-Wa	tson stat	1.066496
Prob(F-statistic)	0.528757			

Source: Researchers computation (2015) using Eviews 8.0

The regression result output table above shows the coefficient of determination (\mathbb{R}^2) value of 0.026752 which implies that only about 3% of the systematic variations in OCF is jointly explained by Size and Age while the balancing 97% is captured in the stochastic error term (u_t). This means that the model, have a very weak predictive power. The F-statistic value of 0.645944 and its p-value of 0.528757 is an indication that on the average, the model is not statistically significant. Our findings shows that Size, approximately has an insignificant (0.242145) positive impact on OCF. However, Age has an insignificant (-0.988197) negative impact on OCF. The reason for the insignificance is because the variables failed the t-test. The Durbin-Watson value of 1.066496 on the average revealed the presence of serial correlation in the estimate.

Therefore, for the purpose of testing our hypotheses formulated in the introduction, it is obvious that Size, approximately has an insignificant (0.242145) positive impact on OCF. However, Age has an insignificant (-0.988197) negative impact on OCF. We therefore reject all null hypotheses in this study and accept the alternate hypotheses as follows:

There is a positive relationship between firm size and operating cash flow. This finding is inconsistent with that of Jafari, Gord, and Beerhouse (2014).

Firm age has a negative impact on operating cash flows.

Conclusion and recommendations

The purpose of this study was to examine the relationship between firm size, age and operating cash flow with much emphasis on the Nigerian banking industry. The study reveals Size, approximately has an insignificant positive impact on Operating Cash Flow, and that Age has an insignificant negative impact on Operating Cash Flow. Consequently, it is therefore recommended that focus should be directed towards other firm characteristics in the determination of operating cash flows.

REFERENCES

- Abor J., (2008). Agency Theoretic Determinants of Debt Levels: Evidence from Ghana. Review of Accounting and finance, Vol. 7, No 2, pp. 183-192.
- Adelegan, O., J. (2009) Investment, Financial Factors and Cash Flow from Nigerian Panel Data. *Journal of African Development*, Volume 11 (1)
- Fagiolo, G. & Luzzi, A. (2004) Do Liquidity Constraints Matter in Explaining Firm Size and Growth? Some Evidence from the Italian Manufacturing Industry. Laboratory of Economics and Management Sant'Anna School of Advanced Studies. Available at: Web page: http://lem.sssup.it
- Hertenstein, J. H., & McKinnon, S. M. (1997) Solving the Puzzle of the Cash Flow Statement
- Heydari, I., Mirzaeifar, M., & Javadghayedi, M. (2014) Investigating the Relationship between Free Cash Flows and firm Performance: Evidence from Tehran Stock Exchange. *Indian J.Sci.Res.* 4 (6): 269-279
- Jafari, S., Gord, A., & Beerhouse, M. (2014) The effect of debt, firm size and liquidity on investment cash flow sensitivity of listed companies in Tehran stock exchange. *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review (Nigerian Chapter) Vol. 2 (10)*
- Kroes J., & Manikas A., (2014).Cash flow management and manufacturing firm financial performance: A longitudinal perspective." *Int. J. Production Economics*, Vol.148, pp. 37–50.
- Nakeur, S. B., Goaied, M., & Blanes, A., (2006).On the Determinants and Dynamics of Dividend Policy. *International Review of Finance*, Vol. 6, pp. 1-23.

Appendix

1.0 Research data

	YEAR	NET OPERATING		AG
COMPANIES	S	CASHFLOWS	SIZE	Ε
			1,152,411,52	
GTB	2010	194,070,874	6	20
			1,611,879,57	
	2011	153,950,053	9	21
	2012	0.000.076.00	1,734,877,86	22
	2012	9,239,076.00	0	22
	2012	74 754 003 00	2,102,840,41	22
	2013	74,734,003.00	2 335 876 52	23
	2014	-45 658 848	6	24
UNION BANK OF	2011			2.
NIGERIA	2010	45,751	1,000,691	39
	2011	0	1,054,734	40
	2012	30,512	1,015,278	41
	2013	-106,537	1,002,756	42
	2014	-123,196	1,009,157	43
WEMA BANK	2010	0	203,144,627	65
	2011	0	222,238,550	66
	2012	14,124,619	244,426,281	67
	2013	14.012.504	330.872.475	68
	2014	-40,148,459	382,562,312	69
UBA	2010	0	1,599,185	61
	2011	0	1.920.435	62
	2012	0	2.272.923	63
	2013	-64.202	2.642.296	64
	2014	-107.616	2.762.573	65
FIDELITY BANK	2010	24.650	481.615	23
	2011	181.280	740.941	24
	2012	43.178	914.360	25
	2013	-37.679	1.081.217	26
	2014	53,565	1,187,025	27
ZENITH BANK	2010	188.227	1,895,027	20
	2011	-48 219	2 326 695	21
	2012	103.640	2,604,504	22
	2012	265,579	3,143,133	23
	2013	-115 933	3 755 264	23
DIAMOND BANK	2014	-23 544 183	542,098,490	20
	2010	74 418 396	714 063 959	21
	2011	/ 1, 110,000	1.178.103.75	
	2012	29,526,618	4	22
	COMPANIES GTB	YEAK COMPANIES S GTB 2010 2011 2011 2012 2013 2013 2014 UNION BANK OF 2010 NIGERIA 2010 2013 2014 UNION BANK OF 2010 NIGERIA 2010 2013 2014 WEMA BANK 2010 2012 2013 QU14 2010 WEMA BANK 2010 2012 2013 QU14 2010 QU15 2014 UBA 2010 QU14 2010 QU15 2013 QU14 2010 QU15 2014 FIDELITY BANK 2010 QU14 2011 QU14 2014 FIDELITY BANK 2010 QU14 2011 QU14 2011 QU15 2014 QU14 2010 <td>YEAR NET OPERA TING CASHFLOWS GTB 2010 194,070,874 2011 153,950,053 2012 9,239,076.00 2013 74,754,003.00 2014 -45,658,848 UNION BANK OF NIGERIA 2010 45,751 2011 0 2013 2012 30,512 2013 2013 -106,537 2014 2014 -123,196 2011 WEMA BANK 2010 0 2012 14,124,619 2012 2014 -2012 14,012,504 2014 -2012 14,012,504 2014 2011 0 2014 -2012 0 2014 -2012 0 2011 0 2014 2011 0 2013 2012 0 2014 2013 -64,202 2014 2014 -107,616 2015 2015 2014 53,565</td> <td>YEAK NET OPEKATING CASHFLOWS SIZE GTB 2010 194,070,874 6 2011 153,950,053 9 2011 153,950,053 9 2012 9,239,076.00 0 2013 74,754,003.00 5 2014 -45,658,848 6 UNION BANK OF 1,000,691 1,000,691 NIGERIA 2010 45,751 1,000,691 2013 -106,537 1,002,756 1,002,756 2014 -123,196 1,009,157 1,002,756 2014 -123,196 1,009,157 1,002,756 2014 -123,196 1,009,157 1,002,756 2014 -123,196 1,009,157 1,002,756 2014 -123,196 1,009,157 1,002,756 2015 1,41,24,619 244,426,281 2013 2010 0 222,238,550 1,599,185 2011 0 1,599,185 1,99,035 2012 0 2,272,923</td>	YEAR NET OPERA TING CASHFLOWS GTB 2010 194,070,874 2011 153,950,053 2012 9,239,076.00 2013 74,754,003.00 2014 -45,658,848 UNION BANK OF NIGERIA 2010 45,751 2011 0 2013 2012 30,512 2013 2013 -106,537 2014 2014 -123,196 2011 WEMA BANK 2010 0 2012 14,124,619 2012 2014 -2012 14,012,504 2014 -2012 14,012,504 2014 2011 0 2014 -2012 0 2014 -2012 0 2011 0 2014 2011 0 2013 2012 0 2014 2013 -64,202 2014 2014 -107,616 2015 2015 2014 53,565	YEAK NET OPEKATING CASHFLOWS SIZE GTB 2010 194,070,874 6 2011 153,950,053 9 2011 153,950,053 9 2012 9,239,076.00 0 2013 74,754,003.00 5 2014 -45,658,848 6 UNION BANK OF 1,000,691 1,000,691 NIGERIA 2010 45,751 1,000,691 2013 -106,537 1,002,756 1,002,756 2014 -123,196 1,009,157 1,002,756 2014 -123,196 1,009,157 1,002,756 2014 -123,196 1,009,157 1,002,756 2014 -123,196 1,009,157 1,002,756 2014 -123,196 1,009,157 1,002,756 2015 1,41,24,619 244,426,281 2013 2010 0 222,238,550 1,599,185 2011 0 1,599,185 1,99,035 2012 0 2,272,923

International Journal of Advanced Academic Research	Social & Management Sciences	ISSN: 2488-9849
Vol. 2, Issue 8		

1			1	1	
				1,518,856,43	
		2013	213,865,738	1	23
				1,933,123,37	
		2014	131,865,738	5	24
8	STERLING BANK	2010	24,893,940	260,693,282	50
		2011	-11,288,204	504,048,213	51
		2012	-14,879,023	580,225,940	52
		2013	-41,953,972	707,797,181	53
		2014	-755,006	824,539,426	54
9	ACCESS BANK	2010	67,771,217	804,823,772	21
		2011	0	0	22
		2012	0	0	23
				1,835,466,00	
		2013	-117,276,193	0	24
				2,104,360,53	
		2014	-279,410,643	9	25
10	ECOBANK	2010	770,462	10,466,871	25
		2011	-178,441	17,161,912	26
		2012	195,379	19,950,335	27
		2013	-215,771	22,532,453	28
		2014	442,412	24,243,356	29

Source: Author's compilation from the Nigeria stock exchange library (NSE), 2015